

THE REVISIONIST CLARION

oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo

MONTHLY NEWSLETTER ABOUT HISTORICAL REVISIONISM
AND THE CRISIS OF IMPERIAL POWERS

oo
TOWARDS THE DESTRUCTION OF ISRAEL
AND THE ROLLING BACK OF USA

Issue Nr. 11- December 2004

oooooooooooooooooooooooooooo

<revclar@yahoo.com.au>

<<http://aloofhosting.com/revisionistclarion/index.html>>

oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo

OUT NOW

JUST WALK AWAY

IRAQ WILL TAKE CARE OF ITSELF

CONTENTS

Breaking News from Fallujah, Ewa Jasiewicz

THE POL POT STYLE

'Unusual Weapons' Used in Fallujah, Dahr Jamail

Online petition supports Marine accused of shooting wounded Iraqi, By Christian Lowe

The Temple Mount Bombers by Uri Avnery

An "invisible army" fights the media lies about 9/11 By

Christopher Bollyn

Books Seek to Discredit 'Growing Threat' of 'Holocaust

Denial' Reviewed by Theodore J. O'Keefe

Hot Docs: Mr Death - The Rise And Fall Of Fred A. Leuchter, Jr

The Controversy of Zion, by Douglas Reed **A summary** by Knud Bjeld Eriksen

Hutton Gibson: The Interview

Revenge: Nuremberg Revisited

Falluja : most intense urban combat for U.S. troops since the 1968 battle for Hue, in **Vietnam**.

The battalion commander, Lt. Col. Pete Newell, gathered them in a circle. "This is as pure a fight of good vs. evil as we will probably face in our lifetime," he said.

Phosphorous shells came next, releasing bouncing white orbs of smoke. The gunner on top of the Bradley began firing 25mm high-explosive rounds, filling the cabin of the Bradley with an ammonia-like smell.

A Forbidden weapon !!!

<http://www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/world/10288381.htm?1c>

URBAN LIFE AND DEATH

Breaking News from Fallujah

Ewa Jasiewicz

This is news gathered from a UK contact working for a small relief organisation based in Baghdad. He has been in regular contact with relief staff on the ground in Iraq who for the past two weeks – since November 10th - have been trying to get convoys of aid into Fallujah but have been prevented from doing so by Occupation Forces. The first time they tried to get a convoy in was June. The convoy was halted and in effect stolen, confiscated, by Iraqi soldiers under the command of Occupation Forces. The Iraqi soldiers confiscated medical supplies – penicillin, syringes, consumables, bandages, plastic gloves, and sanitary equipment. No reason given by individual Iraqi soldiers was 'We need it more than they do' – these are the exact words used. The soldier then announced that the goods would be taken in the name of the Ministry of Health. The incident happened on the road between Baghdad and Fallujah.

The most recent Convoy was attacked by Occupation Forces on Wednesday 24th November. It was part of 3 trucks laden with aid. It contained blankets, water, medical supplies, cooking gas, and basic foodstuffs such as rice, flour, sugar, salt etc. Troops fired on the truck hitting it 6 times. Noone was injured but the convoy was forced to turn back. There was no dialogue with the soldiers.

The NGO trying to carry out this work cannot be named for security reasons. Staff report a climate of fear where speaking out about occupation violations can result in targeting, censorship and possible shut-down of operations by the neo-Baathist Alawi government. Staff have been processing and supporting families fleeing Fallujah and have been listening to their stories.

There is a need for these stories and testimonies to be heard but those involved do not want their names revealed for fear of retaliation. Such constraints make journalistic reporting difficult. Confirmation of sources is hampered by a lack of personal access to Fallujah and Baghdad and the situation on the ground. Reliance on testimonies through third parties is also

problematic yet this is the best that can be done under the circumstances. The news below is corroborated by similar reports in the Arabic and mainstream media.

Here are examples of reports from Fallujah as conveyed to Iraqi relief staff in Baghdad:

Hay Julan – residents of the Hay Julan area who were able to flee Fallujah described **an apple smelling chemical** with which they were exposed to before the main onslaught into Fallujah. There was a break of about **half a day between the presence of the gas/chemical** and when the main assault started. The chemical created open wounds on the skin which were very hard to treat. After a while all exposed areas on the skin were cracked and bleeding. People came out of Fallujah with these injuries. They described smoke, a sweet smell and when they were exposed to the smoke, **they coughed up blood** and had cracked bleeding skin. Most of these families were hiding. When they smelled the gas they thought this was a gas attack and fled their homes and made their way through small backroads unoccupied by Occupation Forces. This happened at the beginning of the attack on Fallujah – around 2 weeks ago.

There were many families who left young people to guard their homes – 18 years old and younger, teenagers, people of not fighting age who they thought would be too young to be targeted by troops. A common theme running through each family grouping which fled Fallujah is that they elected one or two people to stay behind and look after their houses.

One woman said she wanted to commit suicide as she'd left her son there and her home was no longer there. A lot of families said they could not understand the figure of 170 families being put forward by the Red Crescent Society (Arabic medical relief agency). Their estimation was 3-4 times larger. They were aware of a significant number of families left behind. The explanation offered by them was that they must have fled to another part of Fallujah or been killed.

The families said they were prevented from returning to Fallujah to pick up dead bodies of relatives. One family which had their home shelled went to Saqlaawiya which is a village just outside of Fallujah. Saqlaawiya and Ameriyaht Fallujah (1700 families from Fallujah are living there in tents, provided by aid organisations) are under siege by Occupation Forces. This is where families are able to go. In the beginning of the invasion of Fallujah, there was a missile attack on Saqlaawiya. No one knows what happened in the aftermath of this. A group of Saqlaawiya families have been trying to return to pick up their dead but have been prevented.

The main areas housing recent refugees (many of the initial refugees went to Baghdad) are: Saqlaawiya, Baquba, Ameriat Fallujah, and Heed and this is where the information is coming from.

Latest News

Conveyed today through the NGO contact in the UK:

There are systematic arrests by Occupation Troops of boys aged 14-years and upwards are taking place in Heed, Baquba, Ahmeriyat Fallujah, Saqlaawiya and Ramadi. House to house searches.

Ahdemeeya in Baghdad is a no-go zone. Pitched battles are taking place between the resistance and occupation forces. British troops are carrying out house-to-house searches in properties along the Euphrates River edging towards Baghdad.

Statement from NGO co-ordinator in UK after contact with Baghdad office:

“The situation is more volatile than previously assessed. An Iraqi journalist was trying to take pictures of our convoy. A car pulled up, a civilian car from Fallujah, and accused the journalist of being a spy. The driver pulled out a gun and pointed it at the journalist and accused him of working for the Iraqi Mokhabarat (Intelligence services) and threatened to shoot him dead. This happened in the vicinity of Fallujah. Had it not been for intervention from those accompanying the aid agency, the situation could have escalated.

Every day we are trying to send convoys into Fallujah but we are being blocked by occupation troops. The psychology of the situation is very dangerous. There is a ruthlessness and blind reaction by people to perceived threats, as the incident with the journalist shows us. People have lost their families, their loved ones, their homes. There is a lot of psychological damage and instability.

Our co-ordinator has said that it is not safe to talk to the media about what is happening. (People are afraid of being accused of scaremongering and fomenting or inciting violence against the government or ‘coalition troops’ which is an offence under Bremer’s Order on prohibited media activity.

The number of families which got out in the last few days is 2-3 times greater than previously estimated from all areas. At first we had 150 families come out from Fallujah to Heed. Now we have seen over 1000 families come to the Heed and Ameriyaht area. Now they cannot leave these areas. Americans control the whole area. Aid has definitely been let into Ameriyaht. But it has been limited in Baquba and Ramadi. The situation is a crisis.

The Americans have been allowing families out of Fallujah. But there are 170 families remaining in the area controlled by the Americans which is only about 45% of Fallujah. This means that most of Fallujah is still in the hands of the resistance. Under US control are the Al Wahde, Julan and Hay Sina'i areas in the North of Fallujah. But there is still sporadic fighting in these areas and all over the place. The fighting never stops. Guerilla fighters move from house to house, they never stop. And there are areas within these areas which are still changing hands. There was fighting in the Julan area today this morning. All the main roads are not safe. Water and electricity in the city is still cut. It is a bonus if people can move and survive. Resistance fighters are moving in and out quickly of areas as they know that if the military identifies those areas it will bomb them from the air. They keep moving. They can escape as they know every inch of the city. This is the tactic. Almost every house in Al Wahde, Julan and Hay Sina'I has been searched.

There are families trapped in the desert close to Fallujah without anything. They have no tents, nothing, they are just in the bare desert, these families are seen from Convoys trying to deliver aid. If you stop or leave roads already known then there is fear of being targeted by US snipers. The situation is not secure for vehicles to break away from Convoys to come out and deal with them as they are too close to Fallujah and this means people coming to them are perceived as a security threat to the Americans. There are 10s of families there but there are no specific numbers. We have managed to help families in other parts of the desert, further away from Fallujah itself.

This was put together by **Ewa Jasiewicz**, activist journalist who spent 9 months in Occupied Iraq. 27 Nov. 2004

Further Info: <<http://dahrijamailiraq.com>>- writing, photos and commentary from the ground in Iraq
<<http://www.notinourname.net/gi-special/>>- GI Special occupation news bulletin

<<http://www.infoshop.org/inews/stories.php?story=04/11/27/9391671>>

THE POL POT STYLE

[...] On the current invasion, Dahr Jamail reported to Amy Goodman today that “doctors from inside the city, one in particular actually, spoke of the initial raid on Fallujah General Hospital at the beginning of the siege. He said that he was instructed by U.S. and Iraqi forces as they entered the hospital, that they told him that the Iraqi health minister said that if anyone disclosed information about this raid, they would be arrested or fired from their jobs. He went on to describe the scene where the soldiers and the Iraqi forces as well came in, pulled wounded people out of their beds, interrupted operations that were in progress, tied doctors' hands behind their backs and then basically said, ‘okay, you will not be in control of this hospital,’ and then detained several of the patients from the hospital, neglecting their medical care.”

<http://www.dahrjamailiraq.com/covering_iraq/archives//000118.php#more>

'Unusual Weapons' Used in Fallujah

Dahr Jamail

Baghdad, Nov 26 (IPS) - The U.S. military has used poison gas and other non-conventional weapons against civilians in Fallujah, eyewitnesses report.

“Poisonous gases have been used in Fallujah,” 35-year-old trader from Fallujah Abu Hammad told IPS. “They used everything -- tanks, artillery, infantry, poison gas. Fallujah has been bombed to the ground.”

Hammad is from the Julan district of Fallujah where some of the heaviest fighting occurred. Other residents of that area report the use of illegal weapons.

"They used these weird bombs that put up smoke like a mushroom cloud," Abu Sabah, another Fallujah refugee from the Julan area told IPS. "Then small pieces fall from the air with long tails of smoke behind them."

He said pieces of these bombs exploded into large fires that burnt the skin even when water was thrown on the burns. Phosphorous weapons as well as napalm are known to cause such effects. "People suffered so much from these," he said.

Macabre accounts of killing of civilians are emerging through the cordon U.S. forces are still maintaining around Fallujah.

"Doctors in Fallujah are reporting to me that there are patients in the hospital there who were forced out by the Americans," said Mehdi Abdulla, a 33-year-old ambulance driver at a hospital in Baghdad. "Some doctors there told me they had a major operation going, but the soldiers took the doctors away and left the patient to die."

Kassem Mohammed Ahmed who escaped from Fallujah a little over a week ago told IPS he witnessed many atrocities committed by U.S. soldiers in the city.

"I watched them roll over wounded people in the street with tanks," he said. "This happened so many times." [...]

http://www.dahrjmailiraq.com/hard_news/archives/hard_news/000137.php#more >

A POOR KILLER

Online petition supports Marine accused of shooting wounded Iraqi

By **Christian Lowe**

More than 24,000 e-mail signatures of support have been registered on an online petition backing a Marine accused of shooting a wounded Iraqi in cold blood during the battle for Fallujah, Iraq, on Nov. 13. The petition was created by Alan Swinney, 34, a former soldier and veteran of the 1991 Persian Gulf War, who posted it on a free Web-based service. "I know a lot of people who [are] really upset about it and I'm just trying to find a voice," the drug screening collector from Midland, Texas, said in a Nov. 19 interview.

Swinney has sent his petition via e-mail to several members of Congress and even to President Bush. The online petition is the latest move in a wave of support for the unnamed Marine who faces charges of war crimes. Marine officials are investigating the circumstances behind the shooting of an apparently wounded Iraqi after leathernecks with 3rd Battalion, 1st Marines, stormed a mosque from which they were receiving heavy fire.

Web sites have been flooded with postings saying the Marine was justified in shooting the Iraqi — an incident that has equally inflamed anti-American sentiment among some in the Middle East, where the wounds of the Abu Ghraib prisoner abuse scandal are still raw. Many supporters of the Marine believe that since the insurgents do not follow the rules of war the leatherneck should not be held to such a strict standard — particularly in a situation in which the intentions of the wounded Iraqi were in doubt.

"I think the Marine was completely justified in his actions. The terrorists are known for 'booby trapping' their injured and deceased. So our troops have no way of knowing if it is safe to approach even the wounded," one person who signed Swinney's petition wrote. "Give him a metal [sic]," another wrote.

Many of those who signed the petition are angry at the media for releasing the footage, blaming NBC reporter Kevin Sites, who was embedded with the suspect Marines' unit, for stoking the controversy. "Kevin Sites has got to be the worst of them over there," Swinney said. "He was trying to get everyone up in arms about us blowing up mosques until he figured out that wasn't going to work. He's trying to get something started, and I guess he did a pretty good job with that Marine."

And Swinney's not alone in his sentiments. "The media is on the terrorist's side with this type of publicity. You cannot lay [sic] among terrorists shooting at our men and be granted clemency," one petition signatory wrote. Sites, who is well-regarded among war correspondents for his reporting skill and lack of political agenda, has been quoted as saying: "I have witnessed the Marines behaving as a disciplined and professional force throughout this offensive. In this particular case, it certainly was a confusing situation to say the least."

Swinney brushes off the potential that a war crime might have been committed by the Marine. He hopes the petition — whose total number of signatories grows by the second — starts a groundswell of support to exonerate the Marine. “It’s dangerous over there and we can’t really be judging how they fight the war,” Swinney said. “They want to come back in one piece. “If I’d have gone into the room, I’d have put a bullet in every one of them just to make sure they were dead.”

The Marines Corps Times, Nov. 18, 2004.

<<http://www.marinecorpstimes.com/story.php?f=1-292925-510832.php>>

LOONIES

The Temple Mount Bombers

by Uri Avnery

The Security Service is haunted by a terrible fear: that another Israeli Prime Minister will be assassinated. The extreme right-wing, which does not hide its admiration for Yigal Amir and his deed, harbors some who dream of a similar action. After all, if Amir succeeded in murdering the Oslo process, why shouldn’t another Amir succeed in murdering the process of dismantling the settlements in the Gaza Strip? But the Security Service also entertains an even greater fear: that a Jewish terror group will bomb the mosques on the Temple Mount. Years ago, a Jewish underground organization was preparing to do exactly that. It was uncovered before it could carry out its plans. Now similar plots are afoot. The Security Service believes that this action is intended to put an end to Ariel Sharon’s disengagement plan. Bombing the al-Aqsa Mosque and/or the Dome of the Rock would inflame the whole Arab and Muslim world. It would cause profound upheavals, bring down Arab regimes, perhaps ignite a fundamentalist revolution throughout the region. In such a situation, who would think about evacuating settlements?

All this is true, but it does not touch the roots of the conspiracy. The bombing of the Haram al-Sharif mosques is an enterprise that goes well beyond topical issues — it is a revolutionary act that would change the Jewish religion itself. From the point of view of the potential bombers, that is the main thing. In Israel, Jewish history is divided into three “houses”, meaning three temples: The First Temple was supposedly built by King Solomon in the tenth century BC and destroyed by the Babylonian king Nebuchadnezzar in the year 568 BC. The people of Judea were taken as captives to Babylon and about 50 years passed before they were allowed to return to Jerusalem and build the temple again. The building of the Second Temple was finished in 516 BC. It was renovated and expanded by King Herod around 20 BC and destroyed by the Roman general Titus in 70 AC. The Third Temple does not exist, but the new Jewish community that started to establish itself in Palestine in 1882 often calls itself the “Third House”. (When Moshe Dayan became hysterical at the beginning of the Yom Kippur war, he started lamenting the “Destruction of the Third House”). But this is only a symbolic term — not one of the Zionist movement’s Founding Fathers nor any of the founders of the State of Israel, dreamed of building a new temple.

The reason for this is rooted in the events of 1934 years ago. When the Romans besieged Jerusalem, before the town fell and was destroyed, a leading rabbi, Yokhanan Ben-Zakkai, was smuggled out in a coffin. He approached the Roman commander and succeeded in getting permission from him to establish a Jewish religious center in Yavneh, between Jaffa and Asdod. That was the beginning of a revolution in the Jewish religion. “The First House” was a rather insignificant edifice. Contrary to the Bible, there is no historical evidence whatsoever that the empire of David and Solomon ever existed. Jerusalem was a mere hamlet, Judea a negligible entity. The Jewish religion as we know it came into being only in the Babylonian exile, and since then two thirds of the Jews (as they have been called since then) lived outside of Palestine. The “Second House”, too, began as a rather insignificant affair, as attested by a contemporary prophet, but it spread in the course of time. King Herod, a great builder, tried to win the hearts of his detractors by converting the Temple into a magnificent structure.

Even before that, a priestly aristocracy had sprung up around the Temple and established its position in the Jewish community of Judea. Its political expression was the Sadducee party. Against it an opposition party, the Pharisees, was formed. They allowed for a much wider

interpretation of the holy scriptures and believed in another world. At the time of this struggle, Jewish religious creativity flourished and the Bible was written. Since the priestly establishment was in power, the Temple plays a central role in the Bible. The ritual sacrifice of animals accompanied other practices connected with the Temple, the symbolic habitation of the Almighty. Jesus, a Jewish revolutionary, rebelled against the commercialization of the Temple, as did many of the Pharisees. The Hasmonean dynasty, which was based on the priestly aristocracy, considered the Pharisees its enemies and executed many of them. All this changed when the Temple was destroyed. The structure disappeared, together with the cult of sacrifices. The Jerusalemite aristocracy was eliminated, the priests lost everything. The Jewish religion changed course.

From then on, the rabbis, successors of the Pharisees, were dominant in the Jewish community and its religion. Long before the destruction of the Second Temple, the great majority of Jews lived outside Palestine. After the destruction (and the futile Bar-Kokhba rebellion of 135 AC), the Jewish community in Palestine dwindled. Jerusalem became a dream, and all significant events in the development of the Jewish religion occurred far away from there. After the destruction of the temple, the Jewish religion became a matter of laws and commandments unconnected with any particular territory. The Land of Israel and Jerusalem became more symbols than a territorial reality. Judaism did not even demand that its believers make a pilgrimage to Jerusalem, as Islam requires its believers to travel to Mecca at least once in their life. Until the advent of modern Zionism, Jews never once tried to return en masse to Palestine – indeed, this was explicitly forbidden by their religion. When half a million Jews were expelled from Catholic Spain in 1492, they dispersed throughout the Muslim Ottoman Empire, but only a few went to Palestine which, too, was an Ottoman province. Napoleon's call to the Jews to set up a Jewish State in Palestine fell on deaf ears. The first proponents of the modern Zionist idea, long before the appearance of Theodor Herzl, were Englishmen and Americans motivated by Christian religious impulses.

During the last few centuries, European-American Judaism became more and more a religion imbued with a universal moral message. Jewish thinkers believed that it was the "mission" of the Jews to bring universal ethics to the nations of the world, seeing that as the real substance of Judaism. Zionism came into being as a part of the nationalist revolution in Europe and as a reaction to its generally anti-Semitic character. It originated the theory that the Jews are a nation like other European nations, and that this nation must set up its own state in the country now called Palestine. Not by accident did the teachings of Herzl arouse the violent and vocal opposition of almost all the great rabbis of his time, whether Hassidim or their opponents the Mitnagdim, whether orthodox or reformist. But when the Zionist community in Palestine established a state, something happened to Judaism there. The connection with the territory, the soil, changed the face of the religion, as it did to all other parts of national life. It is no exaggeration to claim that the Jewish religion in Israel underwent a mutation, which has become more and more extreme in recent years.

A religion with a universal message became a tribal cult. A religion of ethics became a religion of holy places. **Yeshayahu Leibowitz, a Jew of the old kind, defined the religion of the settlers as a pagan, idolatory cult. The new cult of the temple is the climax of this process.** The practical preparations for the destruction of the mosques and the restoration of the temple, together with animal sacrifices and other temple cults, constitute a break with the last two thousand years of Jewish religion. It is a religious revolution of historic dimensions. If this tendency becomes dominant in the State of Israel, it will not, I believe, lead to the building of the Third Temple but to the destruction of the "Third House". The Second Temple, together with the Jewish people in this country, came to a violent end because a small minority of fanatical Zealots, who were very similar to today's extremist settlers, came to power in the Jewish community and dragged it into a mad, hopeless war. That can happen again.

"Gush Shalom (Israeli Peace Bloc)" <info@gush-shalom.org
A Yom Kippur reflection by Uri Avnery. Sun, 19 Sep 2004
<<http://www.gush-shalom.org/archives/article322.html>>

HUGE QUESTIONS ABOUT 9/11

An "invisible army" fights the media lies about 9/11

By **Christopher Bollyn**
American Free Press

Santa Barbara, California - Three thousand miles from New York City and Washington, this idyllic California coastal town is a hotbed of citizen activists fighting to uncover the truth about what really happened on 9/11. A handful of local residents here have spearheaded a nation-wide effort to expose the lies that make up the official version of events of the Pentagon the World Trade Center attacks.

One year after 9/11, Eric Hufschmid, a self-employed computer software developer, published his exposé *Painful Questions: An Analysis of the September 11th Attack*. Hufschmid's large format book contains many important color photographs of the World Trade Center and Pentagon not seen anywhere else. He spent some \$6,000 for the rights to use high-resolution photographs from Reuters, Associated Press, and other sources.

The most significant photos in *Painful Questions* are of the collapsing towers, which show dust and debris being shot out hundreds of feet. These high-resolution photos reveal that the top 30-floor section of the South Tower broke off and began tipping over, contrary to the commonly accepted fire-induced pancake collapse scenario promoted by government appointed investigators and the controlled press. The photographs reveal huge explosions occurring at the breaking point and in both the upper and lower sections simultaneously.

Rather than falling to the ground, the photographs reveal that the tipping 30-floor section was demolished by explosions that pulverized the concrete. Hufschmid presents this evidence in an important series of 8 high-resolution color photos.

Now in its second printing, *Painful Questions*, printed on high-quality glossy paper, presents evidence that debunks the government's conspiracy theory that 19 Arabs with box cutters outsmarted the U.S. military and caused the massive devastation of 9/11.

The silence of the media

While Hufschmid's work is cited in numerous books about 9/11, no mainstream media outlet has ever contacted him. *Painful Questions* can be obtained directly from the author at <<http://www.hugequestions.com>>.

"The mainstream media ignore information they don't want people to know about," Hufschmid says. "TV is the modern weapon. It's better than a bomb. Whoever controls it has the people and the people don't even realize it. This television fantasy world is what we are fighting against. My book and DVD are weapons for the invisible army in this information war."

Asked about the media's avoidance of the unanswered questions of 9/11, Hufschmid said: "My guess is that some are there to cover it up; some are afraid to speak the truth; and some are truly ignorant."

Asked about the military's reaction, he said: "I would expect our military to be concerned about how they were beaten by such a small group of primitive people. Football coaches do more of an analysis of their games to learn from their mistakes."

"If 19 Arabs with no technology could pull off that attack and cause that much destruction, what could 5,000 terrorists with advanced technology do?"

Hufschmid says he cannot believe that the U.S. military would allow the demolition of the WTC to occur. "It caused such extreme suffering and devastation," he said. "It feels to me that it was done by selfish people whose primary interest is not America."

"Some members of the conspiracy took advantage of the attack and demolished the WTC for their own selfish reasons," Hufschmid said. "Without the WTC attack, we basically have a symbolic attack on the Pentagon, similar to what we see in the Northwoods plan."

The most obvious parts of the scam, according to Hufschmid, are the demolition of WTC 7, the explosion of the towers into dust in 10 seconds, and the confiscation of video evidence from private businesses around the Pentagon and the lack of any photographic or seismic evidence that a Boeing 757 even hit the Pentagon.

Regarding the remark in the FEMA building performance report stating that the cause of WTC 7 collapse is unknown, Hufschmid said: "We say we can put a man on the moon but we can't figure out what happened to building 7?"

Silverstein's suspicious behavior

Asked about Larry Silverstein, the owner of WTC 7, who had obtained a 99-year lease for the twin towers only five weeks before 9/11, Hufschmid said:

"Silverstein has to know that the towers were brought down with explosives. He even admitted on PBS that the commander of the fire department demolished the building - as Silverstein had suggested - rather than put the fires out."

Eight thousand copies of *Painful Questions* have been distributed, primarily through the efforts of an "invisible army" of ordinary citizens who have purchased Hufschmid's book in bulk and pass it on.

Another local resident, Jimmy Walter, sent *Painful Questions* and Hufschmid's two-hour DVD *Painful Deceptions* to every member of Congress at his own expense. "I was so impressed with this book and the questions it raises," Walter wrote, "that I will send a free copy to any fire station, police precinct, judge or politician that requests it."

In September, Walter bought full-page color ads in mainstream magazines and newspapers such as *Newsweek*, *BusinessWeek*, and *The New York Times*, promoting *Painful Questions* and raising some of the "very troubling" and unanswered questions about 9/11.

Walter's ad in *Reader's Digest*, however, was cancelled by the publishers - without explanation - after having been accepted. American Free Press, which no longer sells *Painful Questions*, sold hundreds of copies during the brief period when it did.

Hufschmid told AFP that he does not even sell one book a day to individuals. "It's primarily being bought in quantity by housewives and ordinary citizens who are worried about the future of this country. They are buying the book and DVD and pushing it on their friends. It is often difficult for these people to get their family and friends to look seriously at this issue. It frightens and upsets people."

Hufschmid said that before he began writing *Painful Questions*, he was frustrated at the silence of the media, professors, and professionals, who he had expected would provide leadership and raise questions in the public discourse about the events of 9/11. "The silence was shocking," he said.

Another local resident, David Ray Griffin, recently published his book *The New Pearl Harbor*. Hufschmid notes that although Griffin lives less than two miles away, "We were unaware of each other's work until just days before Griffin's publication deadline."

Outspoken Senator threatened

On Oct. 12, the Washington office of Sen. Mark Dayton (D-Minn.) was suddenly closed until after Election Day due to security concerns. Dayton's Minnesota office told AFP the closure was based on information contained in an intelligence briefing.

Bill Pickle, Sergeant at Arms for the U.S. Senate, told AFP that Sen. Dayton had shown caution and acted on intelligence information from the previous week. Dayton's chief of staff and press spokesman could not be reached by press time.

At Congressional hearings on July 31, Dayton spoke out and complained about the government's lies and incompetence in the official 9/11 Commission report.

Speaking about the military's fabrications concerning their failure to respond properly to the "hijacked" planes, Dayton said:

"They [NORAD] lied to the American people, they lied to Congress and they lied to your 9/11 Commission to create a false impression of competence, communication, coordination and protection of the American people.

"And we can set up all the oversight possible at great additional cost to the American taxpayers and it won't be worth an Enron pension if the people responsible lie to us; if they take the records and doctor them into falsehoods, and if they get away with it."

Here the top section comprising 30 floors of the South Tower has broken off. As Hufschmid describes: "The white clouds show that the pulverizing process is occurring in the portion of the tower that is below the fire zone." [*Painful Questions*, p. 47]

<<http://www.hugequestions.com>>

BOOK REVIEW

Books Seek to Discredit 'Growing Threat' of 'Holocaust Denial'

Denying the Holocaust: The Growing Assault on Truth and Memory, by Deborah Lipstadt. New York: Free Press, 1993. Hardcover. 278 pages. Notes. Index. \$22.95. ISBN: 0-02-919235-8.

Holocaust Denial by Kenneth S. Stern. New York: American Jewish Committee, 1993. Softcover. 193 pages. Notes. Index. \$12.95. ISBN: 0-87495-102-X.

Hitler's Apologists: The Anti-Semitic Propaganda of Holocaust "Revisionism" edited by Alan M. Schwartz. New York: The Anti-Defamation League, 1993. Softcover. 86 pages. Notes.

Reviewed by Theodore J. O'Keefe

The earlier method of opposing Holocaust Revisionism was to ignore it entirely as a scholarly, historiographical phenomenon (except for a few dismissive phrases about "flat earthers") in favor of attacking it as a political threat, branding it as "neo-Nazi," "anti-Semitic," etc. With the exception of Bradley Smith's radio talk show appearances and college newspaper advertisements, Revisionism's opponents have been able to impose an effective blackout on Revisionist challenges to the Holocaust. The result? In the United States, some 16 years after the title of Professor Arthur Butz's *Hoax of the Twentieth Century* was mistakenly reported by *The New York Times* in its first notice of Holocaust Revisionism, there are scores of millions who know that there is a determined movement that challenges the factuality of the alleged World-War-II genocide of the Jews, and tens of millions of Americans who, according to the latest polls, question it themselves.

Whether the growth of this opposition occurred so much in spite of the blackout of what the Holocaust Revisionists say and have written, or rather because of an increasing aversion to the spread of what one Jewish writer has called "Holocaustomania" is unclear, but obviously the blackout hasn't worked to its proponents' satisfaction. Thus, the powerful lobby which propagates (obligatory) reverence for the "Holocaust" has decided to mount an elaborate propaganda campaign against the Revisionists. This time, as the Holocausters march into the fray, some of them are proclaiming a new theme: confronting and defeating Revisionist scholarship.

Generous Help

Two of the three books here under review advertise themselves as setting off on this new demarche; the third, ADL's *Hitler's Apologists*, sticks unabashedly to the tried and true tactics of what might be called "McCarthyism."

Chief among these three intellectually slight works is Deborah Lipstadt's *Denying the Holocaust*, a labored expose that has been years in the gestation (the *New York Times* devoted a major fanfare to Lipstadt's lucubrations on the Revisionists as far back as June 20, 1988), yet manages to give off telltale signs of desperate, last-minute suturing and low-voltage jolts of stylistic electricity, by a crew of editorial Igors in New York City.

The book that shambles forth from the Free Press (a division of Macmillan in Manhattan) is, as author Lipstadt herself acknowledges, heavily dependent on the assistance of professional character assassins from Jewish so-called "defense organizations": operatives of the Anti-Defamation League, the Canadian Jewish Congress, the American Jewish Committee, the World Jewish Congress' Institute for Jewish Affairs in London, and the Simon Wiesenthal Center all receive thanks in the preface.

Denying the Holocaust is copyrighted by something called the Vidal Sassoon International Center for the Study of Anti-Semitism of The Hebrew University of Jerusalem (as a perquisite of which the author may have received the stylish haircut pictured on the dust jacket).

What's actually new about Lipstadt's approach? Not much, despite the author's all-but breathless intimations that she's the first researcher who has dared to look Holocaust Revisionism in the face, and despite the hosannas which have poured forth from the book review sections of the *New York Times*, *Washington Post*, and other newspapers. Although the

author, proudly enthroned on something called the "Dorot Chair in Modern Jewish and Holocaust Studies" at Emory University, makes much of the need to analyze the Revisionist case against the Holocaust, in sum her promised "exposure" of the Revisionists has little to do with confronting Revisionist scholarship.

Ineptitude and Deceit

While Professor Lipstadt is less than honest elsewhere in her book, she is disarmingly frank about her dogmas and purposes at the outset: "The existence of the Holocaust [is] not a matter of debate" (p. 1); Revisionists are "extremist antisemites" who "camouflage their hateful ideology" "under the guise of scholarship ..." (p. 3).

But how to expose them, other than by proclaiming that the Holocaust is beyond question (which comes perilously close to relegating it to the realm of religion) and calling the Revisionists names, particularly when she has haughtily announced her refusal to be "sucked into a debate that is no debate and an argument that is no argument"?

In fact, her promised "analysis" and "exposure" is in large measure derived from the tried-and-true methods of the ADL and its junior partners at the Wiesenthal Center and elsewhere. Lipstadt parades the same labeling and smear techniques as the slick dossiers churned out by the "watchdog groups": antisemite/neoNazi/fascist/professional-hatemonger/bigot/Hitlerian/Holocaust-denier. As you flip through the pages of *Denying the Holocaust*, the epithets all seem to run together into a single quavering wail.

Where Professor Lipstadt can't believably pin one of her slanderous labels on her subjects, or has perhaps temporarily tired of impugning their supposed motives, she is forced to attempt, as best she can, historical analysis and scholarly argument. However, she gives scant evidence of any grasp of historical knowledge or method, and more than a little indication of scholarly indolence and a timidity about confronting the masters of Holocaust Revisionism in their areas of expertise. Her analytic efforts are further vitiated by errors, big and small; omissions, deliberate or in ignorance; and distortions and misstatements, that, coming from any real scholar, can only be called deceitful. Lipstadt's ineptitude, after years of ballyhooed toil amid Revisionist writings, is only underscored by her pitiful efforts to take refuge in her own academic credentials (by the way, all the evidence indicates that is unable to read Revisionist works in the original French or German) and those of the numerous professional historian-hacks whose authority she invokes. These she brandishes, like Medusa shaking her snaky locks, at the Revisionists in hopes of petrifying these alleged amateurs. But this tactic will impress only other amateurs.

To catalogue the slanders and mistakes of *Denying the Holocaust*, let alone refute them, would require almost a book itself, and despite all the media trumpet blasts, this book isn't worth the effort. Still, a look at some of the more important techniques that serve Lipstadt, as well as the rest of the now sweating wardens of Holocaust orthodoxy, is perhaps of some merit.

Word Wizards

Chief among these is one surprisingly simple: a reliance on the emotive and minatory power of the Word. For Lipstadt and her fellows, words such as "antisemite" (her spelling), "neo-Nazi," "denier," "Holocaust," "memory" and the like aren't so much (if they are at all) labels for independent realities as they are weapons, first for controlling discourse, then for anathematizing opponents, and finally for striking directly at the central nervous systems of the population at large. Thanks to the Holocaust lobby's ready access to the international media, efforts by Revisionists to reverse the process by labeling the other side "Exterminationists" and the like tend to strike even sympathizers as odd, labored, and reeking of reactive, *tu quoque* ("you too").

Nevertheless, it is indispensable for Revisionists untiringly to confront and mercilessly to dissect the shibboleths of the word wizards: as in this book, deceptive labels are 90 percent of their case. "What is the Holocaust?" Revisionists must ask, and why does "denying" it sound so direr and more unreasonable than merely questioning whether the Germans had a policy to exterminate the Jews, resulting in the deaths of around six million of them, largely in gas chambers?

What is an "antisemite"? If the word denotes merely someone who opposes the Jews, what's wrong with using a term that says so? [note 2] (And why don't we hear more of "anti-Hamitism" and "anti-Japhetism"?)

Was Robert Faurisson correct when he suggested, in a 1989 article, that the Jewish "memory" that professional Holocausters so often invoke might more accurately be defined as the "beliefs" and "legends" of the Jews?

Historical Revisionism

For those who doubt that Lipstadt's long tussle with Holocaust revisionism is based largely on her manipulation of a handful of empty words, a more specific analysis of her use of the terms "Holocaust" and "Holocaust denial" is in order.

After decreeing that the "Holocaust" is not subject to debate, it is the author's ploy to equate the word with the facts supposed to underlie it. She approvingly quotes (p. 198) the following pontification emanating from the Duke University history department shortly after the appearance of Bradley Smith's full-page advertisement challenging several well-known tales of the Holocaust:

That historians are constantly engaged in historical revision is certainly correct; however, what historians do is very different from this advertisement. Historical revision of major events is not concerned with the actuality of these events; rather it concerns their historical interpretation -- their causes and consequences generally.

Sorry, profs, but that sophomoric stance wouldn't fool many college freshmen -- at least not in the days when a demonstrated ability to think critically was a prerequisite for college admission, let alone this or that professorship. In this reviewer's freshman days, students learned quickly that many alleged "major events" -- such as "the fall of the Roman Empire," "the Middle Ages," and "the Renaissance" -- are in large measure names and interpretations coined by historians based on their evaluation of a large, but still painfully limited, amount of evidence. Although perhaps various proponents of this or that historical interpretation might have welcomed anathemas aimed at their opponents, this reviewer doesn't recall any of them attempting to turn logic on its head by invoking the "reality" of the "Dorian invasion" or the "Ottonian renaissance" to validate each component of the theory, as Lipstadt and her colleagues have tried to do to save the lampshades, shrunken heads, Jewish soap bars, and spectral gas chambers attacked by Smith in his campus ads. Nor, outside of the flacks from the Holocaust lobby, has he ever encountered the cheap trick of representing a historian who doubted the applicability of the name "Dark Ages" for a period in European as arguing that the centuries in question "never happened."

Exercise in Evasion

Having conjured the "Holocaust" into existence without worrying about such inconsequential matters as the documents ordering, planning, and budgeting it, or the forensic tests establishing the murder weapons, or the autopsies showing deaths by gassing, Lipstadt performs her next sleight-of-hand trick. This is to impose her own name for Revisionism, "denial" -- with all its shopworn Freudian implications -- on her targets. Focusing on "denial" and "deniers" as on some pathological syndrome allows her to "analyze" them without reference to the full body of Revisionist scholarship, of which she seems woefully uninformed, even after more than half a decade's study.

In fact, most of her book is an exercise in evasion of precisely that body of Revisionist findings that would seem to have made her work necessary. Conversely, an inordinate amount of Denying the Holocaust is devoted to tracing the antecedents of contemporary Holocaust Revisionist scholarship.

Her book is front-loaded with Revisionists and Revisionist arguments which have been long since been incorporated, superseded, and in some cases corrected by later Revisionists. Indeed, Lipstadt devotes five chapters, spanning 91 pages, to the predecessors of Arthur Butz, whereas Butz and his contemporaries and successors, including Robert Faurisson, Fred Leuchter, and the Institute for Historical Review, get a measly three chapters and an appendix comprising a comparatively modest 64 pages. (It should be noted that much of this text, particularly that concerning the IHR, is rife with the sort of irrelevancies that fill the pages of ADL's "exposés": the life and times of Willis Carto and David McCalden, headlines from *The Spotlight*, and the like.) Other chapters virtually devoid of analysis of Revisionist argument include her Chapter One, largely devoted to lamenting an alleged tolerance for Holocaust Revisionism in the mass media (that is, agonizing that a good number of radio and television talk shows have not blacklisted revisionists), and a speedy, superficial tour of "denial" abroad. In Chapters Ten she marshals such arguments as she can to support the banning of Revisionist advertisements and articles from college newspapers in the wake of Bradley Smith's remarkably successful campaign of two years ago. Chapter Eleven, called "Watchers on the Rhine," is her attempt to chart "the future course of Holocaust denial," and to prescribe what must be done to thwart the Revisionism and an evidently looming rise of the Fourth Reich.

Paul Rassinier

Characteristic of her technique is the way she handles the work of two courageous pioneers of Revisionism, Paul Rassinier and Austin App. Each of these is accorded considerable space in *Denying the Holocaust*, largely to focus on flaws and errors, many of them minor, in their work.

Most readers won't know that where both men genuinely erred, Revisionists have long since corrected them. Rassinier's mistakes on Jewish population statistics, avidly cited by the author (pp. 58-62) were set right by Journal editor Mark Weber in testimony at the second (1988) trial of Ernst Zündel, a trial with which Lipstadt should be familiar since she dwells on it at some length and has had access to the transcript. If that weren't enough, however, Weber summarized his corrective testimony in the *Journal* ("My Role in the Zündel Trial," Winter 1989-90, pp. 391, 415-416), and included three pages of specific corrections in an "afterword" to the IHR's most recent edition of Rassinier's key Revisionist writings, *The Holocaust Story and the Lies of Ulysses* (pp. 414-416).

Although Lipstadt states rather murkily that what she calls Rassinier's "use of the numbers game ... established a pattern followed by all deniers who try to prove that the death tolls are not valid" (p. 5, the knowledgeable reader searches in vain for evidence of this: she has omitted any and all mention of Walter Sanning's key book *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry*; the posthumous article "How Many Jews Were Eliminated by the Nazis?" in the Spring 1983 *Journal* (pp. 61-81) by Professor Frank Hankins, a longtime demographer and former president of the American Sociological Society; and Swedish demographer Carl Nordling's two *Journal* studies, "The Jewish Establishment under Nazi Threat and Domination" Summer 1990 (pp. 195-209) and "How Many Jews Died in the German Concentration Camps," Fall 1991 (pp. 335-344).

Austin App

Similarly, Lipstadt has chosen to give Austin App an entire chapter, eighteen pages long, subtitled "The World of Immoral Equivalency," by which she means to say that App dared to compare such genuine, but comparatively unpublicized and certainly unpunished Allied atrocities as the mass expulsion of millions of Germans from their ancestral homelands, or the mass rapes carried out especially by conquering Soviet troops, to those alleged German atrocities of which we never cease to hear and for which the United States and other governments still dog innocent men, such as John Demjanjuk, to the present day.

While Dr. App, a member of the Editorial Advisory Committee of this *Journal* from its founding until his death in 1984, deserves the highest praise for his indomitable courage, his unflinching loyalty to his German roots, and his dedication to propagating the case for the German nation and people during and after the Second World War, only a writer less than familiar with the progress of revisionist research could claim that App "played a central role in the development of Holocaust denial" (p. 85), or that "his major contribution was to formulate eight axioms that have come to serve as the founding principles of the California-based Institute for Historical Review and as the basic postulates of Holocaust denial" (p. 86). In fact, a survey of the more than 50 issues of *The Journal of Historical Review* published to date reveals only a single article by Dr. App ("The Holocaust Put in Perspective," Vol. 1, no. 1 [Spring 1980]), an obituary tribute to him (Winter 1984, pp. 446-450), and a handful of mentions of his incisive but not always meticulous pamphlets.

It should not be necessary, by the way, to point out that Dr. App, a life-long Catholic who never wrote a word against the republican form of government its founding fathers bequeathed his native America, was by no stretch of the imagination a "fascist," as Lipstadt terms him (p. 87).

Arthur Butz

Bad as is her work on Rassinier, App, and other precursors of contemporary Holocaust Revisionism such as David Hoggan or "Richard Harwood" (Richard Verrall), Lipstadt's real inadequacies as a scholar begin to shine when at length she attempts to analyze and expose the work of Dr. Arthur R. Butz and the Revisionist scholars who have followed him.

Her tack on Professor Butz and his epoch-making *Hoax of the Twentieth-Century* is represent Butz as a master of trompe-l'oeil, assuming "a veneer of scholarship and the impression of seriousness and objectivity" (p. 123) to fool the unwary. To that end, she claims, he provided *The Hoax* with what Lipstadt calls "the hallmarks of scholarly works," that is, "the requisite myriad notes and large bibliography" (p. 124), and criticized the work of earlier

Revisionists as well as "German wartime behavior" -- a ploy "that was clearly designed to disarm innocent readers and enhance Butz's aura of scholarly objectivity" (p. 124).

Lipstadt's efforts to unmask Butz's pseudo-scholarly trumpery and hidden "agenda" are vitiated by both her ineptitude and her dishonesty. She bypasses both the central issues of *The Hoax* and Butz's often complex argumentation to reduce its theses to caricatures. Thus, her chapter makes no reference either to Butz's key (and as yet unanswered) question as to how the mass gassings at the huge, comparatively open, and closely monitored Auschwitz complex could go unnoticed and unreported for more than two years, or to the dual interpretations of German public-health measures at Auschwitz (brilliantly summarized on page 131 of *The Hoax*). Instead, Lipstadt would rather dog Butz for his appearance at a meeting sponsored by Minister Louis Farrakhan, or for the fact that "his books [sic] are promoted and distributed by the Ku Klux Klan and other [sic] neo-Nazi organizations" (p. 126).

Where Lipstadt does lay hands on what Butz actually writes, she almost invariably misrepresents, misstates, or otherwise garbles his positions. Butz does not argue that "the key to perpetrating the hoax was the forging of massive numbers of documents" (p. 127). As the discerning reader will discover by checking the citation from *The Hoax* that Lipstadt cites here, Butz in fact wrote of "a fabrication constructed of perjury, forgery, distortion of fact and misrepresentation of documents" (*Hoax*, p. 173).

Lipstadt similarly badly misconstrues (or misstates) Butz's thesis on why so many postwar German defendants refused to challenge the extermination allegations. The vast majority of them did not "plead guilty" to the Holocaust, as she clearly implies (p. 130). Rather than argue (to their extreme peril in the context of the show-trial hysteria) that it hadn't taken place, the defendants usually argued that they had had nothing to do with it.

Lipstadt is either unable or unwilling to follow Butz when he argues closely. For example, she badly misrepresents his argument regarding Oswald Pohl's testimony at Nuremberg. Butz's point is that it is absurd to imagine that Pohl, the head of the SS agency (the WVHA) that supervised the construction and operation of all the concentration camps, including Auschwitz, would only have learned of the alleged exterminations through a speech of Heinrich Himmler at Posen in October 1943, as Pohl claimed (*Hoax*, p. 195). Lipstadt is silent regarding this claim, stating only that Pohl testified "that he had heard Himmler deliver his famous 1943 speech to the SS leaders at Posen" (p. 131). Elsewhere she cites the word "ludicrous," with which Butz characterizes Pohl's claim about his first knowledge of the supposed genocide, as evidence of Butz's dismissal of "anything that disagreed with [his] foregone conclusion and the thesis of his book" (p. 124).

This reviewer defies anyone to compare Lipstadt's criticisms of *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century* with what its author actually writes, both in those passages Lipstadt cites as well as the far more numerous aspects of Butz's book she has chosen to ignore, and come away convinced that the would-be confounder of the deniers has made so much as a dent in his thesis, even where it is perhaps most vulnerable.

Mistakes and Irrelevancies

Aside from the intellectual dishonesty that members of the professional Holocaust orthodoxy share (which can only grow as Revisionist researchers gain access to more evidence), Lipstadt seems to suffer from an intellectual incapacity crippling in a scholar bent upon penetrating veneers and veils of supposedly false scholarship through rigorous criticism. She excels at mistaking a point or fixing on an irrelevancy, then dwelling on it for half a page or more, as when, for example, she taxes Richard Verrall ("Harwood"), author of *Did Six Million Really Die?*, for quoting Hitler biographer Colin Cross to the effect that "murdering [the Jews] in a time of desperate war emergency was useless from any rational point of view" (pp. 113-114). She reproaches Verrall for the better part of a page for having tried to represent Cross as challenging the "Holocaust." Checking the passage in question (*Did Six Million Really Die?*, p. 20), reveals no such intent to co-opt Cross.

Then again, the fact that Revisionists have paid close attention to Exterminationist writers, and cited such authors as Raul Hilberg, Gerald Reitlinger, and J.-C. Pressac to bolster their case either by referencing otherwise unobtainable evidence or by employing the valid controversial tactic of admission against interest, brings forth an anguished yelp from our author: "They [the "deniers"] rely on books that directly contradict their arguments, quoting in a manner that completely distorts the authors' objectives (p. 111)." Well, what's sauce for the Gentile goose... but we understand perfectly, Debbie, that you and your colleagues would much prefer that we ignore your works -- and we understand why.

Omissions

Another tactic (or failing) of *Denying the Holocaust*, is in the matter, already adverted to, of omission -- omission of all sorts of pertinent facts, arguments, writings, personages, and attainments of Revisionist scholars. Lipstadt seems only half aware of the compass of revisionist research and publication. Her book contains no mention of such key Revisionist authors as Wilhelm Stäglich, Fritz Berg, Carlo Mattogno and Enrique Aynat. And, despite the fact that she makes use of the English translation of Pierre Vidal-Naquet's *Assassins of Memory*, she omits all reference to world-class Jewish historian Arno Mayer's *Why Did the Heavens Not Darken*, with its two crushing observations: "Sources for the study of the gas chambers are at once rare and unreliable" and "There is no denying the many contradictions, ambiguities, and errors in the existing sources."

Lipstadt's understating of the achievements and credentials of Revisionists, despite their availability from the sources she cites, is too frequent to be anything but willful. James Martin, gets mention in a single footnote, which fails to mention his doctorate in history from the University of Michigan, his 25-year academic career, and his authorship of five well-received books and numerous articles: Lipstadt does credit him (p. 44) for being listed as "a contributor to the 1970 *Encyclopaedia Britannica*." Mark Weber, who studied history at four different universities, including Munich and Indiana University, obtaining a master's degree from the latter, is said (p. 186) only to have been "educated in a Jesuit high school in Portland, Oregon."

When Lipstadt refers (p. 67) to Stephen Pinter's famous letter published in the Catholic newspaper *Our Sunday Visitor* (June 14, 1959), which challenged the gas chamber and extermination claims, she leaves out all reference to the fact that Pinter served as an attorney for the U.S. War Department during the postwar Dachau trials, and that he based his knowledge of the wartime treatment of the Jews on having "interviewed thousands of Jews, former inmates of concentration camps in Germany and Austria."

Fred Leuchter

Lipstadt's noisiest evocation of the "credentials" issue comes in her assault on the findings of Fred Leuchter regarding the purported gas chambers at Auschwitz. She takes considerable pains to show that: 1) Leuchter has only a B.A. in history; 2) he is not a certified engineer; 3) a Canadian judge deemed him unqualified to "serve as an expert witness on the construction and functioning of the gas chambers" (p. 164); and he is not America's leading authority on execution gas chambers.

Lipstadt presents a melange of truth and fiction to make her case that Leuchter's analysis of the feasibility of execution gassings at Auschwitz, Majdanek and elsewhere may mislead the uninformed or the unwary, but the essential facts and elementary common sense refute her.

Leuchter's formal educational credentials easily exceed those of Benjamin Franklin, Thomas Edison, or the Wright brothers; he holds numerous patents for inventions ranging from the first electronic sextant to a color stereo helicopter mapping system to various types of execution hardware (Lipstadt omits all mention of these). Even worse, she flagrantly misstates the truth by writing that Leuchter was not allowed to testify during the Second trial of Ernst Zündel as an expert on execution gas chambers: he certainly was, as the transcript makes perfectly clear.

As to Leuchter's pre-eminence as the American expert on gas chamber design, operation and maintenance, a recent book by journalist Stephen Trombley, *The Execution Protocol*, makes abundantly clear that Leuchter was all that in abundance, before his career was wrecked thanks to his steadfastness in standing by the conclusions he reached in his widely-circulated 1988 Report. Lipstadt is aware of *The Execution Protocol*, since she reproaches it for having "resurrected" Leuchter's reputation, but she has no specific criticisms to make of its massive confirmation, coming from an author unsympathetic to capital punishment, of Leuchter's expertise and authority. (Trombley's book also throws light on how Leuchter's ambiguous position as an inventor and technician dedicated to humane execution methods, and an ambitious businessman, made him vulnerable to unfair charges from state officials that his testimony against defective and inhumane equipment and procedures was prompted merely by venality.)

In any case, Lipstadt is unable to shake the most important aspect of the Leuchter affair: that, thanks to the enterprise of Ernst Zündel and the dedication of Robert Faurisson, the first-ever expert forensic examination of whether mass homicidal gassing was feasible in the Auschwitz crematoria, and the first quantitative investigation of the physico-chemical evidence of such gassings, was conducted by a leading, professional, court-certified expert in homicidal gas chambers. Needless to say, she fails to report the existence of three subsequent reports on

the alleged homicidal gas chambers of Auschwitz -- carried out by a Polish forensic institute, a German chemist, and an Austrian engineer -- each of which corroborates Leuchter's 1988 report.

Jean-Claude Pressac

Aside from attempting to impugn Leuchter's credentials, Lipstadt makes a feeble effort to uphold the gas chamber myth by invoking the supposed findings and authority of Jean-Claude Pressac, the French pharmacist whose book *Auschwitz: Technique and Operation of the Gas Chambers* was published in 1989 by Beate and Serge Klarsfeld. Despite its labored attempts to substantiate the "gas chambers" of Auschwitz by revealing and discussing an unprecedented wealth of documents from Auschwitz, Pressac's book has to date received scant public notice from orthodox Holocaust scholars. It has, rather, been the Revisionists, above all in this journal, who have analyzed this and other of Pressac's writings -- to the embarrassment of the Exterminationists and to the great profit of historical truth.

Suffice it to say that Lipstadt (pp. 226-228) has merely listed (not always accurately) a few of the 39 allegedly criminal traces which Pressac claims to have discovered from documents relating to the Auschwitz crematoria: a gas-tight door here, a request for gas detectors there, an inventory listing shower heads, and so forth. Readers interested in ascertaining the perfectly banal usages of all these items are advised to turn to the *Journal* articles by Robert Faurisson (Spring 1991), Paul Grubach (Winter 1992-93), and Arthur Butz (May/June 1993). As for Lipstadt's own gross ignorance of the Auschwitz gas-chamber question, this reviewer is content to cite this sentence from *Denying the Holocaust*: "The delousing chambers were constructed in the same fashion as the homicidal gas chambers," and refer the reader to *The Leuchter Report*, Pressac, or any other source for blueprints and photographs he or she may choose.

Dread Portent?

Dr. Lipstadt seems to have begun unraveling in the course of her work on this book. In her preface (pp. vii-viii) she makes less than cryptic references to the growing stress she felt as she strove to confront and expose the increasingly powerful arguments of the Revisionists:

I had constantly to avoid being sucked into a debate that is no debate and an argument that is no argument. It has been a disconcerting and, at times, painful task that would have been impossible without the aid and support of a variety of people. Without them I would never have emerged from this morass.

In her final chapter, entitled "Watching on the Rhine: The Future Course of Holocaust Denial," Debbie becomes completely unglued. After sniffing suspiciously at the work of such orthodox, but dismayingly skeptical, modern German historians as Ernst Nolte, who has recently called for open debate on the gas chambers, and Michael Stürmer, who seems to think that the interpretation of his country's recent past should serve purposes other than a source for Hollywood horror scripts and fundraising gimmicks for the United Jewish Appeal, Lipstadt conjures up the looming horror of a Fourth, Revisionist Reich.

The "deniers," she tells her readers, are really no different from the Ku Klux Klan, the skinheads, the Neo-Nazis: "They hate the same things -- Jews, racial minorities, and democracy -- and have the same objectives, the destruction of truth and memory." And the deniers are cleverer: they don't run around in sheets or Nazi paraphernalia, but "...attempt to project the appearance of being committed to the very values that they in truth adamantly oppose: reason, critical, rules of evidence, and historical distinction. It is this that makes Holocaust denial such a threat."

And just what does this dire threat portend? What final horror threatens Jews, racial minorities, and democracy? Here's how Lipstadt evokes (p. 218) the coming tribulation:

A strategic change will also mark the activities of the racist, neo-Nazi, ultranationalist groups. So easily identifiable by their outer trappings, they will adopt the deniers' tactics, cast off the external attributes that mark them as extremists, and eschew whatever pigeonholes them as neofascists. They will cloak themselves and their arguments in a veneer of reason and in arguments [sic] that sound rational to the American people. The physical terror they perpetrate may cease, but the number of people beguiled by their arguments will grow.

As a portent of the terrors to come, and as a tactic analogous to those of the deniers, Professor Lipstadt cites an attempt by one of the many Klan groupuscules to erect a cross on city property in Cincinnati during Christmas. Horrors!

She's not done yet, however. After considering (p. 219) "the most efficacious strategies for countering these attacks" (she lukewarmly opposes legal censorship because it may turn

revisionists into martyrs, and advocates that the population at large be stuffed, like so many Strasbourg geese, with more Holocaust education, museums, etc.), Lipstadt ends (pp. 221-222) with a final, quavering, self-pitying wail (a wail that begs for annotation):

Though we cannot directly engage them [**in debate -- as to why not, the reader may decide**], there is something we can do. Those who care not just about Jewish history or the history of the Holocaust but about truth in all its forms [**comment supererogatory**], must function as canaries in the mine [**not cuckoos in the clock or bats in the belfry?**], to guard against the spread of noxious fumes. [**"Gas masks for sale! O-o-o-ld gas masks!"**] We must vigilantly stand watch against an increasingly nimble enemy. [**Tough work for increasingly sclerotic Holocaustomaniacs!**] But unlike the canary, we must not sit silently by waiting to expire so that others will be warned of the danger. [**"Good, heavens, Martha, it's raining canaries! What can it mean?"**] When we witness assaults on the truth, our response must be strong, though neither polemical or emotional [**like your book?**] We must educate the broader public and academe about this threat and its historical and ideological roots [**Oh, boy! More lavishly funded Chairs of Holocaust Studies!**]. We must expose these people for what they are. [**Is the ADL about to fold up?**]

The effort will not be pleasant. [**You can count on that one, Debbie!**] Those who take on this task will sometimes feel -- as I often did in the course of writing [**Does she mean typing?**] this work -- as if they are being forced to prove what they know to be a fact. [**What an awful imposition!**] Those of us who make scholarship our vocation and avocation dream of spending our time charting new paths, opening new vistas, and offering new perspectives on some aspect of the truth. [**Us Revisionists have things so easy! But you're not getting tired of the Holocaust, are you, Debbie? What are you -- some kind of anti-Semite?**] We seek to discover, not to defend. [**Aww...**] We did not train in our respective fields in order to stand like watchmen and watchwomen on the Rhine [**100-1 she got this image only second-hand from prune-faced, lying old Stalinist Lillian Hellman, not from hearing the patriotic German song**]. Yet this is what we must do. [**What dedication!**] We do so in order to expose falsehood and hate. [**"But we don't l-i-i-ke mirrors!"**] We will remain ever vigilant so that the most precious tools of our trade and our society -- truth and reason -- can prevail. The still, small voices of millions cry out to us from the ground demanding that we do no less. [**Ugh!**]

And with that last emetic cry, the Wicked Witch of the West (or is it the East?) dissolves into an oozing putrescence. Unwilling to confront the Revisionists, unable of answering their arguments, at best a second-rate mistress of the dossier and the exposé, she can only bequeath her formulas and her broom to the smear mongers at the defense agency.

As for *Denying the Holocaust*, to recall the German philologist Wilamowitz-Müllendorff's famous dismissal of a study of socialism in antiquity, "Dieses Buch existiert nicht für die Wissenschaft" ("This book doesn't exist for scholarship.") In a sane world, it would merit not a review, but an epitaph: "Here lies Deborah Lipstadt."

Stern's Effort

Kenneth Stern, author of the American Jewish Committee's *Holocaust Denial*, is described therein as "Program Specialist, Anti-Semitism and Extremism" for that organization. Despite these ominous credentials, and endorsements from Deborah Lipstadt, Shelly Z. Shapiro (who tried to frame Fred Leuchter on orders from Beate Klarsfeld), and the irrepressible Mel Mermelstein, Stern's book is fairer than might be expected.

Why so? After all, his book contains many of the standard slurs and slanders: the IHR is "Carto's lie-tank" ("Holocaust denial" is an "enterprise of professional anti-Semites" (p. 9) and "a dogma that provides ideological incentives to feel good about Jew-hatred" (p. 84). Stern relies heavily on slanted information provided by Gerry Gable, editor of the pro-Communist periodical *Searchlight*, Leonard Zeskind, research director of the Center for Democratic Renewal, and other Marxist flacks, and opines that "even if we do not agree with the complete agenda of the current Europe [sic] organizations that have a mission to fight fascism -- such as some of the mainstream left-wing 'antifascist' groups -- we should be more active in helping them." (p. 97)

Nevertheless, Stern takes Holocaust Revisionism seriously enough to provide nearly fifty pages of appendices with evidence -- from their own mouths and pens -- of Revisionist scholarly and polemical activity, including the full text of Brad Smith's first campus advertisement, "*The Holocaust Controversy: The Case for Open Debate*"; a complete transcript of Montel Williams's April 30, 1992, television show devoted to Holocaust Revisionism, during which *Journal* editor Weber and Revisionist filmmaker David Cole easily bested a gaggle of Holocausters, including a couple of survivors; and an 18-page listing of "Holocaust-denying" books, booklets, and pamphlets, and of articles from *The Journal of Historical Review* that should make even the hardiest true believer shiver at the evident industry and sophistication of the Revisionists.

Like Lipstadt (in her first chapter), Stern offers a world tour of Holocaust Revisionism. His *Baedeker* is rather more informative than hers, for all his errors, and even this reviewer, inundated as all IHR's editors are by Revisionist news from around the globe, read it with some profit.

Stern takes a stab at refuting selected Revisionist arguments, not very successfully, since he has either dodged major questions in favor of trivial ones ("[Revisionist] Claim: That neither Churchill nor Eisenhower, in their memoirs, mention either gas chambers or a genocide program" [p. 71]), or relied on empty pronouncements from Exterminationist authority figures, such as Professor Yehuda Bauer, who confutes the laws of physics by informing us that "the incinerators at Auschwitz were built to cremate nine corpses per hour" (p. 65), or put his faith, like Lipstadt, in J.-C. Pressac.

All in all, Revisionists will likely experience a warm feeling of satisfaction when they put down *Holocaust Denial*: we are on the march, and Stern makes clear that he and his fellow professional anti-anti-Semites don't know how to stop us.

ADL Hatchet Job

The second offering from the Jewish "defense agencies" under review is a rather less attractive effort. *Hitler's Apologists* lumbers along after Lipstadt's and Stern's books, its knuckles grazing already well-worn grooves of innuendo, smear, and what used to be called "guilt by association." Compiled by a cast of professional snoops, this 86-page booklet was edited by Alan Schwartz, who was dropped from the plaintiff's list of expert witnesses after he was mercilessly grilled by Mark Lane in deposition during the second Mermelstein case.

Although the booklet's subtitle, "The Anti-Semitic Propaganda of Holocaust 'Revisionism'," would seem to indicate a programmatic confrontation with the Revisionist case, the way *Hitler's Apologists* is organized belies that. Most sections are titled with the names of individual Revisionists, who are pilloried for all manner of associations and linkages, motives and agendas, positions and statements, some of them dating back decades, while their formal arguments are passed over or dismissed with ritualistic slurs.

For example, Mark Weber is falsely described as "a long-time neo-Nazi" (p. 10). (Question: How long does one have to be a "neo-Nazi" before he qualifies as a "paleo-Nazi"?) Bradley Smith, who has been earlier accused of falsifying credentials -- credentials he never claimed! -- by Harvard law professor Alan Dershowitz, is taxed for being the co-director of a "pseudo-academic enterprise, the Committee for Open Debate of the Holocaust" (p. 12), although Smith has never represented CODOH as being in any way academic.

Once the ADL's smear apparatus has been turned on and has sputtered to life, it takes on a demonic existence of its own, like some odd carnival amusement, ultimately repellent whatever its attraction. Amid stomach-turning odors, to the manic burbling of a cranky calliope, the centrifugal pump that is *Hitler's Apologists* whirls faster and faster, spewing filth and falsehood about Revisionists, great and small, into the faces of the American public. Fred Leuchter! David McCalden! Jack Wikoff! Hans Schmidt! Ernst Zündel! Pat Buchanan! Arno Mayer! Keegstra! Faurisson! Roques! Le Pen! The Germans! Faster and faster! Eastern Europe! Lithuania! The Muslims! Saddam Hussein! The Intifada!

And on and on it spins and stinks, this latest ADL hatchet job, shooting half-truths and lies, irrelevancies and mistakes, to the point where it becomes idle to track down and refute them one by one. A production like this is of a piece -- either one great truth or one great lie. The big lie of *Hitler's Apologists* -- that all revisionists are simply Nazis -- is wearing ever thinner. Thus the insane energy of the liars and sneaks who basted it together.

Repression and Monopoly

Each of the books under examination here calls for or tolerates continued censorship of Revisionists -- if not through judicial or police measures, then by systematically refusing Revisionists the right of the effective public forum -- media, academia, advertising, and commercial distribution. Only grudgingly conceded is the right to assail the Holocaust hoax from a soapbox in a public park.

This intolerance of debate, this relish for repression, is the reverse of the counterfeit coin whose obverse is the gas chamber lie and the six million myth. Whatever the responsibilities of the wartime propagandists and the postwar survivors, the minters of the false currency of Holocaust history cannot be excused for temporary opportunity, hot-blooded vengeance, or passing confusion. Through their jealously guarded monopoly of historical discussion of the "Holocaust," the Second World War, and ultimately the entire modern era of the West, they mean to silence all dissent, from the rantings of the most repulsive race-baiter to the researches

of the most meticulous scholar. And they aim, through their hypostatized Holocaust, to raise their own filthy calumnies -- of the Nazis, the Germans, the Axis, Europe, and ultimately America and the entire West throughout its history -- to an obligatory state cult.

That is why the work of Holocaust Revisionism -- including its sometimes peckish-seeming preoccupation with the innards of what Professor James J. Martin has called "Polish potato cellars," with the efficacy of insecticides, and the meaning of half-century old invoices for light bulbs or showerheads -- must continue. To use a military analogy, it is not enough that our scouts and our reconnaissance troops have won some skirmishes, not enough that General Rassinier's airborne troops have seized a bridgehead, not enough that Field Marshal Butz's panzer army has knifed deep into enemy territory. These victories must be confirmed and consolidated through further research and new findings, while the smallest and meanest of the Holocaust lies must be rooted out of the isolated intellectual bunkers in which they lurk, then destroyed.

Today, no matter how badly beleaguered by state censorship, by physical attacks, by economic pressure, Holocaust Revisionists are on the intellectual offensive. If the books reviewed above can't be much bettered by the Holocaust Lobby, both the lie and the lobby are in danger of definitive refutation and exposure before the decade is out.

Note

This reviewer recalls reading a "scholarly" article -- author, title and source long forgotten -- on the elaborate punctilio that governs the orthography of this term so dear to anti-defamatory bigdomes. "Anti-Semite" was eschewed as seeming to indicate a (possibly rational) opposition to "Semitism" and "Semites," whereas the unhyphenated, uncapitalized form points to the unconscious miasmas of unreasoned bigotry that lead "antisemites" to oppose US handouts to Israel, a Holocaust museum on every block, etc. There remain simpler Jewish souls, however, who favor the term "Jew-hater" for such creatures.

<<http://www.phorum.gr/viewtopic.php?t=3612>>

Alle the revisionist books cited above, as well as Lipstadt's on book, are available on line at our site:

<<http://aaargh-international.org/fran/livres/livres.html>>

THE MOVIE MOVES

Hot Docs: Mr Death The Rise And Fall Of Fred A. Leuchter, Jr

Mr Death: The Rise and Fall of Fred A. Leuchter, Jr, screening on SBS Television on Tuesday 2 November at 10.00pm is a documentary from the acclaimed American filmmaker Errol Morris. This program is a portrait of Fred Leuchter, a man who spent his childhood visiting the prisons where his father worked, grew up to design execution equipment and became famous for claiming that no gas chambers ever operated at the Auschwitz concentration camp.

Fred Leuchter grew up in Massachusetts and went to work with his father from the age of four. He spent time with prison guards and with prisoners, who taught him how to pick locks and crack safes. He visited the cell areas and the 'death house'. Despite having no formal training Fred went on to make his living from being an expert on the construction of execution devices. He first worked on electric chairs then was asked to build lethal injecting machines and gas chambers which are used in some parts of the United States. Fred says that what drives him is concern for the person being killed, "I have often been asked...whether I sleep at night or how well I sleep at night and my answer is always the same -- I sleep very well at night and I sleep with the comforting thought, knowing those persons being executed with my equipment that these people have a better chance of a having a painless, more humane, dignified execution."

Fred says he is also concerned for the "feelings of the people doing the execution -- often guards who have dealt with men for last 5, 10, 15 years" who have often become surrogate fathers to the man on death row, "He's had to deal with him then he has to kill him.". Fred claims that he tried to make the equipment function for the quickest, cleanest death for all concerned.

In 1988 Fred Leuchter was approached to testify in the case of Ernst Zündel, a German national living in Canada who was to be tried for publishing a pamphlet denying the Holocaust. Leuchter visited the sites of the Auschwitz and Birkenau concentration camps in Poland and, without permission, took measurements and chipped samples from brickwork which he later submitted for chemical tests. He took detailed notes and was videotaped while working. He concluded in a report and in his subsequent testimony that no gas chambers operated. He later gave speeches to the Neo-Nazis who enthusiastically embraced his denial of the Holocaust. Revisionist historian of the Holocaust, David Irving, claims Fred's publication *The Leuchter Report: The End of a Myth* is what "converted" him.

Historian Robert Jan Van Pelt [*a mock historien, in fact*] says Fred is a victim of the myth of Sherlock Holmes who believed he could go to the scene of the crime and reconstruct reality. He comments in Fred's case he had no training and was simply **a fool committing a sacrilege** at a historical site of indescribable suffering.

As a result of his holocaust denial Leuchter's wife divorced him, he lost friends and his career. When asked if he ever thought he could be wrong he replies, "No I'm past that...I know that I left no stone unturned. I did everything to substantiate the true existence of the gas chambers and I was unable to."

<http://www.sbs.com.au/whatson/index.php3?id=832>

THE MOVERS MOVE

Berlin -- Nazi officials planned to move the Auschwitz gas chambers to a concentration camp in Austria as the Germans retreated westward from the Soviet army near the end of World War II, a magazine reported Sunday.

While SS chief Heinrich Himmler gave orders to raze the gas chambers and crematoriums at Auschwitz in the fall of 1944 to erase evidence of the Nazis' crimes, new historical research shows that officials sent at least some of the equipment to the Mauthausen camp for reuse, the *Der Spiegel* weekly said. Austrian historians Bertrand Perz and Florian Freund drew their conclusions in part from correspondence and accounts by survivors of both camps, the report said.

They also discovered a Feb. 10, 1945, letter to Mauthausen officials from J.A. Topf and Sons, an Erfurt, Germany-based company that made many of the incinerators for Nazi camps, that talked about sharply expanding the Austrian camp's gas chamber on the assumption that "all the parts from the Auschwitz Concentration Camp will be used again." Though accounts by camp survivors have indicated that some equipment from Auschwitz, located in present-day Poland, arrived, the war's turn against Germany prevented the Nazis from building the large-scale gas chambers they apparently envisioned for Mauthausen, *Der Spiegel* said.

Six million Jews were killed in the Nazi Holocaust. Between 1 million and 1.5 million prisoners - most of them Jews - perished in gas chambers or died of starvation and disease at Auschwitz. Advancing Soviet troops liberated the camp Jan. 27, 1945.

Mauthausen was liberated by U.S. troops in May 1945 when the Nazis surrendered. An estimated 100,000 inmates died at the camp near the Austrian city of Linz.

Der Speigel 11 october 2004.

Worse and worse ! The gas chambers that really did not exist but may have been transfered to an inexistent future ! The worst !

A GREAT BOOK BY A GREAT MIND

The Controversy of Zion, by Douglas Reed

A summary

by **Knud Bjeld Eriksen**

In the foreword to the 1985-edition of Douglas Reeds book, the author Ivor Benson describes, how the intervening years from 1956, when the book was completed, until 1985, have confirmed Douglas Reeds interpretation of the past 2.000 years of history in every way. He

covers the continued role of the Middle East as the tinderbox, that can become the cause of the next world war, and the continued suppression and misrepresentation, in the media, of all news and discussion.

It was only the few who knew the background of talmudic Zionism and Communism, who had a chance to understand such decisive events as the so-called "Six-days-war" and the later massive invasion of Lebanon in 1982. The invasion was supposed to do away with the PLO, it was said, but in reality it was simply a part of the old Great-Israel-plan (*Eretz-Israel*). Just as is today's invasion of Iraq.

The world's pro-Israeli massmedia picture of Israel as a small, innocent democracy, which was constantly in need of help, became more and more untrustworthy, so not many were surprised, when the English *Institute of Strategic Studies* could report, that Israel had become the world's *fourth greatest* military power after The United States, Soviet and China, but way ahead of nations like England and France. After the fall of the Soviet Union, this country, with a population about the same size as the tiny Danish one, may even have risen further on this top-4-list!

The change in the reactions of the Jews themselves at this time - 1982 - was significant: After the massacre of 1,500 men, women and children in two Palestinian refugee-camps in Beirut, the Western media timidly withheld comments, while 350,000 inhabitants of Tel Aviv protested against their own government.

Douglas Reed seems to have foreseen this development also, for among the last words in his book - from 1956 - are the following: "I think, that the Jews of the world are beginning to realize the wrong of revolutionary Zionism, the twin of the other destructive movement, Communism, and that towards the end of this 20th Century they will finally have decided to join in the ranks of mankind."

The book starts out with a 1789-quotation from the philosopher Edmund Burke who, in *Reflections on the Revolution*, directed a literary attack on the French Revolution:

"Something has happened which it is hard to speak about and impossible to keep silent about."

World Revolution, Zionism and World Government

The World Revolution, which in this century (20th) has destroyed human civilization to an unheard of degree - so far - was only one of the two revolutionary movements, which spread like an epidemic pest over the world from the same week in October 1917. Both sprang from talmudic-lead Eastern Jews. The World Revolution has been the climax, so far, of Judaic world conquest. The defeat of the Revolution is only apparent. Its destructive effect continues a bit more covertly without Soviet, but still with China as an example of this type of terror-lead slavesociety, and with Judaic agents solidly placed on the most important posts in the world. All the "spiritual values" continue, as f.ex. also in the EU.

And its twin, Revolutionary Zionism, grows ever stronger towards the envisioned *Eretz-Israel* - Great Israel, which is to stretch from the Nile to the Euphrat in the whole "original area", with all the "Jews" of the world brought together there, and with Jerusalem as the world capital and centre of the "World Peace of the World Government".

Everything is supported by the Pentateuch of the Old Testament, supplemented by the Talmud, concerning the predestination of the "Chosen People" to exterminate or subjugate all other nations. Among the Zionist leaders this is taken one hundred percent seriously. Like a military operation the two groups work together with incredible synchronisation towards the all-domineering World Government. It will not be long before it becomes a reality. We see it happening every day: UN, NATO, EU, WTO, International Treaties and Conventions, International Courts with transnational jurisdiction and the hundreds- even thousands - of international mergers and take-overs in business.

Also the idea of world government has its background in The Old Testament and the Talmud. It is "The Chosen People", which arranges matters according to the text, with the rest of the world as slaves. The greatest achievements of both movements took place in the periods of confusion close to the end of-, and right after the two world wars, which were started by the leaders of these two movements. Only the Revolution and Zionism came out as winners after the two global wars, with their inconceivable suffering.

Victors in the first global war

After the First World War the Revolution was fixed in Russia with terror and extermination of all "classes" down to - and including - the culac, a farmer with 3 cows. It was an

almost exclusively Jewish government, which wielded this terror. It was to last for 70 years, with roughly 100 million murders on its conscience.

Although western media, especially from 1952 on, carried on about “anti-semitism” in the Soviet, this was never anything but bluff, because these Soviet Jewish leaders simply got cold feet as the reign of terror came to be known as the Empire of Evil. In reality it was only different fractions fighting each other. The most obvious “Jewish” representatives were withdrawn, but the power structure, especially in the secret police, that had the decisive power, remained “Jewish”. “Anti-semitism” was severely punished (right up until the fall of the Soviet Union, and possibly still is) and was therefore quite unthinkable.

Right after the Revolution there was a death penalty for being in possession of “The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion”, which was apparently one of the “Jews” plans for world conquest through revolution, and for breaking down Christian countries.

At the same time Zionism, with the Balfour-Declaration of October 1917, had obtained a promise from Great Britain, in return for bringing The United States into the World War, to give to the Jews “a national home” in Palestine.

Slowly the pressure on the politicians of the West was increased, in order to make this a reality. Especially on the American ones, who were surrounded by Jewish “advisers”, and the immigration to the area increased enormously by the miraculous remedy of “Anti-semitism”, combined with the support of Jewish tycoons and western pro-Zionist leaders in the inter-war period.

And the League of Nations became the first core of the World Government, also with Zionists on high posts, pulling strings.

And in the next global war.....

In the same way it was only these three movements – Communism, Zionism, and World Government, that profited from the suffering and destruction of the Second World War. The Western Powers helped the World Revolution to entrench itself deep in Europe.

General Eisenhower ordered the Western generals to stop at a line Vienna-Berlin. All of the Eastern European countries, the “liberation” of which had supposedly been what the whole war was all about, were sacrificed to the revolutionary terror at the Yalta-conference.

At first Zionism supported Hitler financially and with a hesitant or even obliging press. Then, in 1933, it declared Holy War on him.

Zionists obtained so much compassion for Jews after the persecution and the much-advertised extermination, that the world powers and the populations of the West accepted a division of Palestine and the “re-settling” of Jews in the area. The Arabs were deliberately sacrificed, and this started one of our times’ most dangerous anti-Western hate-waves – strongly maintained ever since.

Reed gives many examples of the “special sufferings” of the Jews during the war being only media-fabricated lies. In ratio to their numbers they didn’t suffer any more or any less than other people, but this was an example of the phenomenon, that everything must focus on Jews. Other peoples have suffered far more, numerically. At the end of the war, and shortly thereafter, Zionists received enormous loads of weapons from the Soviet Union. And several hundred thousands of Jews emigrated from a country, which no-one else could get out of, so that soon they became a well-armed majority, being able to drive the original population away by Old Testament terror. The result was 600.000 miserable refugees.

The United Nations, which was originally planned and organized by Alger Hiss and Harry Dexter White, both later exposed as Jewish communist spies, was to become a temporary climax of a Judaic-dominated World Government. Its many ramifications all had as their most important purpose to reduce the sovereign nations to municipalities, and to give unlimited power to the organisation itself. Naturally in order to “secure peace”, just like the League of Nations. For many years the organisation was communist-dominated, and its many condemnations of Israeli terror were never followed up by action.

The unconditional support from Western leaders for an ever-growing Great-Israel leads towards a World Government with-, or without a Third World War. Israel’s open nationalism and racism gets no serious criticism from a Zionist-dominated “public opinion”. The West is closing down its own states as “antiquated”, and they are more and more under the control of the swelling international organisations, dominated by “Jewish” agents. The pattern of setting Western powers up against each other and letting them bleed to death, is now worked in thoroughly, and has become almost an exact science.

The “Jewish Agents” of the West, who are working for either Zionist or Communist success, are now behaving in an unrestrained, treasonable manner and quite in accordance with

“The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion” for destruction of “Christian Nations”. A Third World War could be the third act, which these forces consider necessary to gain complete control in the ensuing need and confusion.

Sacrificing many of their “own people” has been acceptable, even necessary, before, in order to maintain a government based on fear, and also for populating Israel through manufactured “anti-semitism”.

And then we get the war ... *unless* enough people, the world over, wake up and pull the war-mongers away from the “handle for the big bang.”

The history of the previous 2.000 years

The author supports his arguments by quoting statements, writings and actions made by “Jews”. The description of the development of Zionism, in the last Century, for instance, focuses on Chaim Weizmann, who was a key figure in the political Zionist intrigues, and who became the first president of Israel. A special source is Weizmanns auto-biography from 1949, *Trial and Error*.

The Jewish background of Communism and World Revolution is a fact, and enormous amounts of money have been spent exactly to try to hide this. Probably no other secret has cost as much to maintain. But Jewish sources exist, that admit it. Both Jewish historians, communist leaders, newspaper articles and reports from the time of The Revolution are used as source material.

As to the longer historical perspective, Reed particularly supports his arguments by reference to the stongly Zionist historian, Dr. Josef Kastein, whose book, *History and Destiny of the Jews*, appeared in 1933. However, he also uses many other sources, all mentioned in the *bibliography* in the book. Kasteins book covers the same time-span as “*The Controversy*”, and much information in Dr. Kasteins book can be taken as direct evidence of Douglas Reeds conclusions.

The Master Race

The misery began in the year 458 b.C., when a small tribe in the old Judea accepted a creed based on race. The tribe had previously been expelled by the Israelites for such racism. This seemingly unimportant event has probably caused more destruction for Mankind than both the existence of explosives and epidemics. The tribe adapted the creed of the Master Race as nothing less than “The Law”.

The Judeans were a small tribe under the Persian king. The creed of Judaism was not the beginning of monotheism, as has been propagated. Monotheism dates all the way back to The Egyptian Book of the Dead, 2.600 years b.C. and maybe even further. Judaism, on the contrary, was the exact anti-thesis, namely the worship of a racist tribal god.

“The Law” or “The Pact” was – and is – unique in being based on a statement from a tribal god, to the effect that his “chosen people”: “the Israelites” (in reality, the Judeans) would be set above all other peoples and settled in a “promised land”, if only they would stick to all of his rules and judgements. If Jehova, then, was to be worshipped in a certain place, it followed, that when the worshippers were not actually in that place, they were being “persecuted”, in “captivity” and had to “destroy” the “strangers” that “kept them in captivity”. Only in this way was Jehova to be a god for all other peoples – as the punishing god, who punished his own people first – by a “captivity” among the heathens for their “transgressions against the law” and then, as by an exact script, punished the strangers by a predestined *extermination*, when “the chosen people” had followed all the rules to the letter.

It was probably not even a pact with the Judeans, for according to “The Holy Scripture”, the pact was made with the *Israelites*, who had long since mingled with the rest of Mankind, and who have never known this racist creed as far as we know. *The Jewish Encyclopaedia* says, that the Judeans “probably were a non-Israeli tribe”. The Israelites turned away from the racism of the Judeans. The creed has gone down in history as having been created by the *Levites from Judea*.

What happened before 458 b.C. is mainly mythology, unlike the later, most important events. The written record predates 458 b.C. by a couple of centuries, when the Israelites rejected the Judeans. The history of Moses was taken by the Israelites from the widespread mythology, which goes all the way back to the history of the Babylonean king, Sargon the Elder, 2.000 years earlier. The ten commandments are much like similar commandments from the Egyptians, the Babyloneans and the Assyrians. These common ideas about one god for all mankind, the Levites, the rulers of Judea, then put in reverse, when they wrote down their laws. They founded the permanent counter-movement against all universal religions and identified

the names Judea and Jews, with the doctrine of self-made separation from Mankind, racial hatred, murder in the name of religion, and revenge. Also the personification of treason, a Judas, was included right from the beginning of Judea.

The stories of Moses, leading a mass-exodus from Egypt, can not be true, even according to Dr. Kastein. It was invented, as a necessity, in order to fit into the pattern of "Jehovas revenge", the destructive basic principle of Judaism.

The Israelites had, as the larger part of a segregated group of people, settled in the northern part of Canaan. In the south, surrounded by the original canaanites, the tribe of Judah took shape. Thus the name "Judaism" and "Jew".

This tribe was isolated from-, and did not get along well with, the neighbours, right from the start. There is much mystery concerning it, including its beginnings. It seems more to have been expelled than chosen. And in the following editions of "The Holy Scriptures", written by their scribes, who wrote whatever suited them arose, in the course of the centuries, and in more and more places, the commands "destroy completely", "tear down", "exterminate" etc.

The Israelites had withdrawn, then, from the Judeans' racist beliefs and had mingled with the rest of Mankind. They "disappeared" in this way as a separate people, while the Judeans kept to themselves by strict racial laws.

In the course of time these were further sharpened and expanded to regulate even the most trivial daily details. The punishments for breaking the laws were severe, and common "Jews" came completely under the control of the scribes. It was this spiritual ghetto, which became the forerunner for the physical ghetto and for the antagonism and exclusion by others, of the Jews, as a retribution.

Talmud and Treason

In the Babylonean "captivity" the scribes added four "Mose"-books to the 5th, which had been the first. They expanded, in this way, further the intolerant racial religion, which would keep the Jews separated from the rest of mankind forever, if it could be enforced. And in Babylon they found the means. The religious leaders actually succeeded in keeping their congregation completely separated from the surroundings. They obtained authority with those, who kept them "in captivity" for use against "their own", and finally, in the year 536 b.C., to show their gratitude, they destroyed the entire host-country through treason, through the help of the army of a new ruler, king Cyprus of Persia, who, in turn, they then destroyed etc.....the well-known pattern, which was later perfected and used, among many other occasions, in the two world wars in The 20th Century. "The Jews", by the way, at least the leaders, had a fine time in Babylon, according to Dr. Kastein. They were completely free. The narrative of the destruction of Babylon created an image of an irresistible destructive power. This was another pattern, which was further expanded by the scribes.

Treason was always the return for hospitality. According to Dr. Kastein, the Jews were instrumental in the destruction of the Babyloneans, the Persians, the Egyptians, the Greeks and the Romans. Each time by "stretching out open arms" to a conqueror.

This situation has continued up through the centuries. Simultaneously, the chains of the common Jews were tightened more and more, and from the time of Jesus the Talmud had been developed, as a collection of scriptures, which contain rules of conduct for everything, particularly directed against Christianity. The new religion of tolerance, which was the direct opposite of the Levitical racial hatred, constituted the worst danger for the scribes - that of losing their grip on the congregation. If the many Jewish rules of conduct were broken, this was punished severely, even by death. The Jewish authorities held the common Jews in a grip of terror by means of an authority, given them by the "Christian" rulers. After Rome had lost its patience with the Jews, Jerusalem was destroyed in the year 70 and the Jews were scattered in the surrounding countries.

Sanhedrin

The world leadership in Judaism, which in Jerusalem was called the Sanhedrin, "the wise men of Zion", moved around during the next centuries, as a mobile government with incredible power over the scattered congregations. After the destruction of Jerusalem the headquarters were moved to Jamnia, where it remained for about 100 years. Thereafter it was Usha in Galilea, then, as always because of "persecution", it moved back to Sura in Babylon. For 600 years the world leadership remained in Jamnia, Usha and Galilea, in the oriental climate, where it belonged. When the world center was then moved to Spain, as a result of the moslem conquests (!) a long painful coexistence with the Christian countries began, in which the "oriental"

mentality was not understood and appreciated. These sufferings are about to destroy the West in our time.

Treason in “Spanish”

In the Christian Spain treason was repeated. As in Babylon and Egypt the Jews turned against the people among whom they lived. They opened the gates of the city for the conquerors and was thereafter given custody of the raped city by the moslems ... against whom they also turned in the end. Once more they were thrown out for bad behaviour, in 1492. They obviously were identified with the moslem conquerors and thrown out together with them. Among the worst “persecutions” they had had to endure, was being forbidden to keep slaves! (prof. Graetz): “hereafter the Jews could neither buy Christians as slaves nor receive them as presents.”

They had, by this time, been in Spain for 800 years. Most of them went back to Northern Africa, from where they had come, or they went to Egypt, Palestine, Italy, The greek Islands and Turkey. Other colonies had arisen in France, Germany, Holland and England, and these also received some of the expelled. Wherever they settled down, the religious leaders always had the local prince help them retain power over their congregation. *Total power*. Some of these Jews became very wealthy and they particularly developed loan capitalism as their power base. They lent large sums to extravagant princes, and in return for these received many privileges as court Jews. The best known representative for this money-power was the Rotschild family, which in the 19th century had become the real rulers of Europe.

Then the world leadership of Judaism was then moved to Poland.

The Chazars

This is one of the central mysteries. *Why* Poland? There was no information about any considerable part of the Spanish Jews having moved to Poland, or information that any earlier mass-immigration of Jews into Poland had taken place. In the 15-hundreds a (Jewish) population, counting millions, suddenly arose (Dr. Kastein). But populations of millions do not suddenly arise, and Dr. Kastein evades the question. Behind this suppressed knowledge lies the key to understanding the following history of Zionism. The Jewish headquarter was established amidst these so-called *Eastern Jews*, of whom even the Western Jews had, until then, only heard rumors, and of which the rest of the world knew nothing. From then on they took over the leadership of World Judaism. They were descendants of the Chazars, a people of Turkish-mongoloid origin, who had converted to Judaism around the 7th Century a.C. From here an even harsher segregation was ordered by “the wise ones”. Meanwhile, the western Jews were slowly becoming “assimilated” in the Western European population, especially after the legislation that followed the French Revolution, which gradually gave them full civil rights. This eastern group gradually took over the leadership of “Judaism” to such a degree, that the Jewish “statistics” – which by the way have always been quite untrustworthy – practically let them disappear. Today eastern Jews constitute at least 90 % of all Jews, according to these statistics, both in Israel and in the rest of the world.

The western Jews who, by using fantasy and a maximum of twisting of truth, claimed to have once lived in Palestine, were slowly becoming assimilated, after having been thrown out of Spain, and almost “disappeared” as an entity. In their stead appeared the Eastern Jews, an Asian people, which had never had the slightest connection with Palestine.

And, suddenly, also the world headquarters of the Jews disappeared. According to Dr. Kastein it “ceased to exist”.

World Government without Address

There was, however, not much indication that this was so. But it became secret after 1772, when Poland was divided, and the larger part of the Jews came under Russian rule. Such a world headquarter had existed for over 2.500 years, and now it was supposed to have suddenly disappeared. It could, nevertheless, be assembled, when Napoleon called in “The great Sanhedrin” in 1807, in order to get clarity concerning the loyalty of French Jews or lack of same. Dr. Kastein, himself, reveals joyfully, that “in the 19th Century a Jewish International took shape”.

The 19th Century belonged to the revolutionary conspiracies of both Zionism and Communism, which unfolded in the 20th Century. It was also in that Century, that the physical ghetto was replaced by a mental one: the fear of “Antisemitism”. In Jewish families, the question was whether to further Revolutionary Communism or Revolutionary Zionism (Weizmann). It ended up being both. Communism should tear down all nations. Zionism should establish one.

A World Government without an address was becoming a fashion, which has been followed undeviatingly all through the 20th Century.

World Revolution and Master Race

The British statesman, Benjamin Disraeli (a baptised Jew) said, that Jews were behind all the revolutions in the middle of the Century (from 1848), and there was significant *participation* by Jews also in the French Revolution. However, according to Reed, no Jewish leadership of the initial intrigues has been traced. It was secret societies, especially the Jacobins, who played the leading part, and these could be traced back to the German professor Adam Weishaupt, who in 1776 founded the *Illuminati*, a secret society, directed against all Christian authorities. Soon it had infiltrated all of the European freemasonry and it then went on to America. The conspiracy of the *Illuminati*, which was accidentally revealed by the Bavarian government in 1787, contained almost, word by word, the same text in their program as the later "Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion" (Nesta Webster) and it was clearly recognizable in the theories of the Russian Revolution. The "Russian" Revolution was the first, which was Jewish without any doubt (around 90% of the leaders were Jews) and this revolution, along with the First World War was the first clear evidence, that "The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion" were being followed literally in the major events of the world.

Reed gives several other examples of this script being an exact prophecy for the nearest future or a frightening plan for world conquest. (See Chapter "The Protocols", in Reeds book and the whole text of the Protocols under "Links" and "More"). It may never be proven, whether they are "Jewish" or not. More importantly, Reed frequently points out, that it has been mostly Jewish dissidents, who have warned against them. He mentions several. But the details of the World Government are being put in place day after day.

6. February 1998

<<http://knud.eriksen.adr.dk/summary.htm>>

OLD BUT STRONG

Hutton Gibson: The Interview

Excerpts of telephone Interview on Monday, Feb. 16, 2004, 8 p.m. between Hutton Gibson, father of Mel Gibson ("The Passion of the Christ"), and Steve Feuerstein, executive producer and talk show host, "Speak Your Piece!" WSNR-620AM.

I. THE HOLOCAUST

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHAT WAS THIS EVENT CALLED THE HOLOCAUST?

GIBSON: In the first place the Holocaust is a terrible misnomer. First of all a Holocaust is a burnt offering to G-d. Now these people were not offering themselves up to G-d. If these people were being killed they were being taken there screaming howling and yelling for they were being persecuted and murdered. That is not a Holocaust. Secondly there were not that many Jews under Hitler's power under his sway. They claimed that there were 6.2 million in Poland before the war and after the war there were 200,000; therefore he (Hitler) must have killed six million of them. They simply got up and left! They were all over the Bronx and Brooklyn and Sydney and Los Angeles. ...

I've known a lot of Germans. The society I went to school to were Germans and they were thorough they were good teachers. They were efficient and they know how to do things. If they had set out to kill six million Jews they would have done it. But all we hear about is Holocaust survivors. "Oh, we know it happened, cause over there is a survivor. Oh, my mother and father were survivors," they say.

This is absolutely ridiculous. And (the Holocaust) it's all - may not all fiction - but most of it is. For instance the gas chambers and crematoria at Auschwitz would not do the job. Do you know what it takes to get rid of a dead body? To cremate it? It takes a liter of petrol and 20 minutes - now six million of them? They (the Germans) did not have the gas to do it. That's why they lost the war.

Regarding the gas chamber, the gas was going the wrong way. You see it was going down instead of up....

II. THE CONCENTRATION CAMPS

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHY DIDN'T FDR BOMB THE TRACKS?

GIBSON: You see the concentration camps were filled with people who had to be fed. ... These were work camps.

Guess who owned the railroads? The Jews ... IG Farben Industries was never touched, it was making munitions and doing all things to keep them in the war.... The Group Works they also weren't bombed. All they had to do was bomb the group works but they were owned by the Jews. They owned the tracks and the banks so you don't bomb your own stuff. They owned the banking, the banking system. Hitler's big crime was operating without the big banks (and the Jews). Just like Russia it had to go since it had no debt.

III. WORLD WAR II

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHY DID YOU ENLIST IF YOU WERE AGAINST US INVOLVEMENT IN WWII?

GIBSON: Came the war (WWII) and I knew my Roosevelt. I knew he would get us into it. So I volunteered.

During WWII they were giving us this jazz. They said we were attacked. Well alright but we didn't realize that at that time the attack was a put up job by "the man" - I am talking about our beloved FDR who had practically forced the Japanese to attack and destroy the entire Pacific Fleet. They knew they were coming in Washington. FDR pushed us into the war.

It was FDR's own private war. He went there for money. The money power that runs this country. The Fed Reserve and those foreign bankers who own our currency and charge us for it. The foreign bankers who run the international reserve like the Rothschilds and their allies in this country like the Rockefellers who were Jews and others who own the money.

We have given the whole control to the Fed Reserve. [Fed Chairman Alan] Greenspan tells us what to do. Someone should take him out and hang him. The Ford Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, the Carnegies are all communists. They are enemies of the country. When they want to pull the plug on us we're going down the drain.

There is a book out not too long ago listing the big Jewish families in the country which lists the Rockefellers as Jewish and there was no protest.

IV. THE JEWS & MONEY

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHY DO THE JEWS CONSTRUCT HOLOCAUST MUSEUMS?

GIBSON: There are too many survivors. It's just a gimmick to collect money.

They have to go where there is money. There is no way they would come to West Virginia. They have to have some place to go that has money. They didn't work in the mines, you can bet your boots...no, they don't work anywhere where they can get out of it. They're great pencil pushers, they are the superior people and therefore they are entitled to the top jobs, supervisory stuff and so on, because they hire each other. They have so much influence in the banks for instance. They all look out for one another you got to give them that. They are at the same time willing to sacrifice a few of theirs if it helps...

V. REPARATIONS

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: AND THE DEMAND FOR REPARATIONS?

GIBSON: Why all the reparations? It's an irresistible chance to make money. All those Holocaust museums put up at our expense with our taxpayer dollars.

VI. JEWISH WORLD DOMINATION

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHAT DO THE JEWS AIM TO ACHIEVE?

GIBSON: I don't know what their (the Jews') agenda is except that it's all about control. They're after one world religion and one world government. That's why they've attacked the Catholic Church so strongly, to ultimately take control over it by their doctrine and make one world religion and one world government.

VII. RABBI MARVIN HIER

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: YOU'RE CONCERNED ABOUT MEL'S HEALTH?

GIBSON: "The rabbi for hire" that's even what the Jews refer to him as. He had one of these snarley voices. These people are vengeance-bound. They will chase down people like

[John] Demjanjuk [cleared in Israel of charges of being a Nazi guard]. They almost got him killed and eventually it was proved innocent of all charges. Yeah, Ivan the Terrible, they said.

VIII. ADL

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHY DID THE ADL OPPOSE THE FILM?

GIBSON: This is part of their deal ... they don't want this movie shown, they don't care if the movie is anti-Semitic or not, or if it is straight history. Mel says he absolutely couldn't buy PR like this. And (thanks to the ADL) everybody knows the line now: Let the blood be upon us and our children.

IX. THE POPE & THE VATICAN

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHAT DO YOU AIM TO ACHIEVE BY SCREENING THE FILM BEFORE THE VATICAN - WHY DID YOU NEED THE POPE'S APPROVAL?

GIBSON: And anyway somebody said why do you suppose he (the Pope) approved the movie? I said what do you think he would say when it comes out and he disapproved it.

The ones that we have there (in the Vatican) are all involved in the (Jewish) plot.

The need of the Vatican endorsement wasn't, it just wasn't needed at all. The only reason they went over is that the ADL had threatened to take the film over and show it to them. They would have to steal it to do so ... they did already. One of the guys in the office said why don't we take it over to the Vatican and show it to them anyway cause they wanted to see it and they did want to see it. And Mel said "OK let's take it over and show it to them." They were not after any accolade. They just wanted to take it away from the ADL who was going to go in there and put some pressure on like they can and get a condemnation of it.

No, no we had no idea of helping out the Vatican in any way. You see here we have what we call a hostile witness. As Mel said while they were on the way over, "What is he going to say is he going to condemn it because when it comes out he'll show what a big ass he is." What the heck could he say? The Jews were going to take it to the Vatican ... this was the argument from one his producers there, Steve McEveety. Mel said "Go ahead. Let us do it." The ADL might have even taken a few shots themselves and shown the Pope something that was not in the film. There is nothing these guys would not do...

X. JESUS

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHAT IS THE PLOT THAT YOU KEEP REFERRING TO?

GIBSON: They (the Sanhedrin) had a good thing going in the temple, they were selling the victims to be sacrificed. And he went in and overturned their tables, he tried once before but this they had enough reason to get rid of him. That's one of the charges they put against him that he put himself equal to G-d. And this is blasphemy and therefore it deserves death.

They knew what he was after and they were killing him just for that. They cannot admit that they were wrong. They have been at it for all of history.

Is the Jew still actively anti-Christian - He is, for by being a Jew, he is anti everyone else.

XI. EYE FOR AN EYE

GIBSON CONTINUES: They are the people with an eye for eye and tooth for a tooth. They must have revenge. You know they (the Jews) caused the Roman persecutions too. ... The Jews were notable for getting the wood to burn the Christians...a labor of love you could say.

To a Jew a Christian commits idolatry every time he looks at a crucifix and says a prayer. You know they're in control and they're going to get in control the way things are going. Because they get all of our people...They killed several generations of us Americans (referring to WWI, WWII)...The Jews weren't in the army much in WWI that because they were fomenting a revolt in Russia. America had no right to fight in foreign wars (in reference to WWI and WWII).

XII. REVOLUTION IN AMERICA: BLOODLESS OR VIOLENT

STEVE FEUERSTEIN: WHAT IS GOING TO BECOME OF OUR COUNTRY HERE IN AMERICA?

GIBSON: We're going to have to do something now in this country because that government is useless. There's a line the Declaration of Independence where somebody abolishes or sets aside or misgoverns, it is our privilege the constitution, it is the people's obligation to abolish that government. I think there is a way... There is a bloodless way to do it if we can swing it: secession. Just get all states to secede from the government and leave it there high and dry.

The alternative is eventually they are going to clamp down on us and ... we are going to have to revolt with a gun or we are going to face the same (governmental) terror...We're going to have to do something fairly soon because the longer it goes, the more power they get and the less we have.

<<http://www.y-quest.net/gibson.htm>>

MOCK TRIAL

Revenge: Nuremberg Revisited

The Nuremberg Trials were based on alleged “facts” that the “evil” Germans who allegedly started the second “World War”, had exterminated millions of “good” Jews during that war either by gas, electricity or steam and even made soap out of some of them. That was, in short, the story of the victorious “Allied” Zionist forces. Everything but the gas story -- which is now called “the Holocaust” -- has long been dismissed as utter nonsense; but somehow, even after more than half a century, the Jews have managed to keep the gas burning.

Harlan Fiske Stone, former Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, described the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials as “**a high-grade lynching party for Germans**”.

Robert Taft, former US Senator, said that the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials set a dangerous precedent that could endanger American military personnel captured in future conflicts. If victorious armies can prosecute their defeated enemy for “war crimes”, he said, the same could happen “someday” to captured American soldiers or, for that matter, to an US President, like Skull-and-Bones’ **George W. Bush**, conducting wars which are - like the Iraq war of 2003 - in clear violation of international law.

John F. Kennedy, former US President, wrote in his Pulitzer Prize-winning book *Profiles in Courage* of the political heroism of **Robert Taft**, whose personal code of honor required him to denounce the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials at the risk of jeopardizing his lifelong QUEST for the presidency. (Kennedy, John Fitzgerald. 1963. *Profiles in Courage*. New York)

Despite relentless opposition and an unprecedented smear campaign against him by the Zionist media, Taft questioned the fairness of the Nuremberg Trials. He contended that they were not the shining example of Western jurisprudence that those media had led the gullible American people to believe.

Robert Taft conducted a Senate investigation in which many American witnesses disclosed that there had been **widespread torture of German defendants**. Such conduct led Senator Taft to suggest that one could hardly trust such confessions. Taft then went on to question the very foundations of the trials and the image of “justice” they were supposed to represent.

In *Profiles in Courage*, US President **John F. Kennedy** quotes Robert Taft speaking at Kenyon College in Ohio as follows:

“The trial of the vanquished by the victors”, he (Taft) told an attentive if somewhat astonished audience, “cannot be impartial no matter how it is hedged about with the forms of justice.” (Kennedy, John Fitzgerald. 1963. *Profiles in Courage*. New York)

John F. Kennedy then goes on to quote at length from Robert Taft’s speech.

“About this whole judgment there is the spirit of vengeance, and vengeance is seldom justice. The hanging of the eleven men convicted will be a blot on the American record we shall long regret.

In these trials we have accepted the Russian idea of the purpose of trials - government policy and not justice - with little relation to Anglo-Saxon heritage. By clothing policy in the

forms of legal procedure, we may discredit the whole idea of justice in Europe for years to come.” (Kennedy, John Fitzgerald. 1963. *Profiles in Courage*. New York)

John F. Kennedy comments,

“Nuremberg, the Ohio Senator insisted, was a blot on American Constitutional history, and a serious departure from our Anglo-Saxon heritage of fair and equal treatment, a heritage which had rightly made this country respected throughout the world. ‘We can't even teach our own people the sound principles of liberty and justice’, he concluded. ‘We cannot teach them government in Germany by suppressing liberty and justice...’” (Kennedy, John Fitzgerald. 1963. *Profiles in Courage*. New York)

This commentary of Kennedy (“*Ich bin ein Berliner*”) is only one example why the German people loved that cultured, just and anti-Zionist man and on the other hand despise the half-educated, “blind” (Paul O’Neill) Zionist warrior **George W. Bush** and his whole Jewish court (see www.y-quest.net/dab/dab-fussnoten.htm, Note #1.: “**Jews in the Bush-Administration**”).

In a nutshell Robert Taft's argument was that victor's justice is no justice at all.

Hollywood Jews made movies about these revenge show trials, one of the most appalling of them was “*Judgement at Nuremberg*”, produced by Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer (MGM). “MGM” was created by the Jew **Marcus Loew** (1870-1927), the son of Jewish Austrian immigrants, who bought Metro Pictures in 1920, and after that adding a controlling interest in Goldwyn Pictures and Louis B. Mayer Pictures in 1924. Goldwyn Pictures was the company created in 1916 by the Jew **Samuel Goldfish** (1882-1974) who was born **Schmuel Gelbfisz** the oldest of six children in a family of Hasidic Jews (see “*Talmud for Animals*” on this website) in Warsaw. The Jew **Louis B. Mayer** (1885-1957) was born **Eliezer (Lazar) Meir** in Ukraine. The film was directed by the New York born Jew **Stanley Kramer**.

Other Zionist controlled media tried to give the the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials an appearance of fairness and authenticity in a courtroom setting, but there cannot be any justice when

- the accusers have control over judges, prosecution, and defense,
- the judges are the political enemies of the accused,
- men face persecution for acts of war that the allied accusers themselves had committed (**Dresden, Hiroshima, Nagasaki, Gulag**, etc.),
- the trials allow massive amounts of testimony without cross-examination of witnesses,
- so-called evidence consists of confessions exacted through torture,
- witnesses for the defense could face arrest for showing up at court,
- men are tried for violations of laws that did not even exist at the time of their alleged commission (“ex-post-facto criminal law”).

In addition it is still a legal mystery how the Nuremberg kangaroo “courts” could have obtained any jurisdiction over the defendants because potential offenses were committed by Germans against Germans. Therefore only a German and not an international court would have had jurisdiction over them.

Judge **Edward Van Roden** was a member of the Simpson Army Commission that investigated the methods used. In the January 9, 1949, *Washington Daily News* and in the January 23, 1949, *London Sunday Pictorial* he told of some examples of the use of torture.

“... *The investigators*”, he said, “*would put a black hood over the accused's head and then punch him in the face with brass knuckles, kick him and beat him with rubber hoses. . . . All but two of the Germans, in the 139 cases we investigated, had been kicked in the testicles beyond repair.*” (*Washington Daily News*. 1949. January 9 --- *Sunday Pictorial*. 1949. January 23. London.)

Much of the “Holocaust proof” offered today by “Holocaust” promoters working either for Zionist outlets or are themselves Jews, is based on the “confessions” extracted at the Nuremberg

Trials. However, one can hardly rely on confessions of those whose testicles were damaged during interrogation.

In addition, Russian KGB officials, who themselves had committed extensive “war crimes” and “crimes against humanity”, sat as judges in Nuremberg.

Iowa Supreme Court justice **Charles F. Wenersturm**, who was president of one of the Nuremberg tribunals, had resigned his appointment in disgust at the proceedings. He charged that the prosecution prevented the defense from obtaining evidence and preparing their cases, that the trials were not trying to create a new legal principle but were **motivated solely by hatred of Germans**. Additionally, he said that **90 percent** of the Nuremberg Kangaroo Court **consisted of persons who, on political and racial grounds, were biased against the defense**. He contended that **Jews dominated the staff of the Nuremberg Courts** and were more interested in revenge than justice.

“The entire atmosphere is unwholesome. ... Lawyers, clerks, interpreters and researchers were employed who became Americans only in recent years, whose backgrounds were embedded in Europe’s hatreds and prejudices.” (Chicago Daily Tribune. 1948. February 23)

General **George S. Patton** had also opposed the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials. For example, in a letter to his wife he wrote:

“I am frankly opposed to this war criminal stuff. It is not cricket and is Semitic. I am also opposed to sending POWs to work as slaves in foreign lands, where many will be starved to death.” (Blumenson, M. *The Patton Papers*. 1972. Boston)

The armies of the Soviet Union raped almost all the German women in their occupied areas - from young children to the elderly. They murdered millions and forced millions from their homes in the winter of 1945. East Prussia, German land for centuries where the “anti-Semitic” philosopher **Immanuel Kant** was born (Königsberg), had its entire German population expelled and/or murdered by the Soviets. In the 1990’s, Jewish researcher, **John Sack**, documented the Jewish mass murder of tens-of-thousands of Germans in the months following the war. (Sack, J. *An Eye For An Eye*. 1993. New York)

It wasn’t just the Soviets and the Jews who committed “war crimes”. The Western allies had their share of them as well. One of those crimes was **Operation Keel Haul**, which deported hundreds of thousands of Russian and Eastern European anti-Communists to torture, slave labor and mass murder in the Soviet Union. When they learned of the forced repatriation planned by the Allies, scores of them committed suicide. Another was the **Morgenthau Plan** of the Jew, Zionist and ferocious German-hater **Henry Morgenthau**, which the Allies implemented for a while after the war. **The plan called for each German civilian to receive a ration of food that was less than that alleged to have been allotted to inmates in Germany’s concentration camps for Jews.**

After the war was over, millions of German civilians and soldiers died in the first year of the harsh Allied occupation. (Bacque, James. 1997. *Crimes and Mercies: The Fate of German Civilians Under Allied Occupation, 1944-1950*. Toronto. --- Bacque, James. 1994. *Other Losses*. Toronto)

One example of the “evidence” which has been used in the Nuremburg Kangaroo courts is the purported “confession” of **Rudolf Hoess**, the former “Nazi” commandant at the Auschwitz Concentration Camp. For years, “Holocaust” historians cited Hoess’ confession as proof that there was an elaborated “Nazi” plan to exterminate all “European” Jews. In fact, it formed the foundation of the Auschwitz allegation of mass gassings. Jewish “Holocaust” promoter, **Raul Hilberg**, heavily relied upon it, but when its full, unedited content became widely known in the 1960s, many Holocaust “experts” became embarrassed by it, and by the 1990s some admitted its obvious unreliability.

Historian **Christopher Browning** admitted in a *Vanity Fair* article that:

“Hoess was always a very weak and confused witness. The revisionists use him all the time for this reason, in order to try to discredit the memory of Auschwitz as a whole.” (Holocaust Revisionism Source Book. 1994. Quote from Vanity Fair. p. 1.)

Hoess wrote his memoirs while awaiting trial and execution in a KGB-run Communist prison in Poland, with all the implications.

Rupert Butler, in his anti-Nazi and anti-Hoess book *Legions of Death*, vividly describes Hoess' capture as follows:

“At 5 p.m. on 11 March 1946, Frau Hoess opened her door to six intelligence specialists in British uniform, most of them tall and menacing and all of them practiced in the more sophisticated techniques of sustained and merciless investigation...”

We discovered later that he had lost the cyanide pill most of them carried. Not that he would have had much chance to use it because we had rammed a torch [flashlight] into his mouth...

Clarke yelled: ‘What is your name?’ With each answer of ‘Fritz Lang’, Clarke’s hand crashed into the face of the prisoner. The fourth time that happened, Hoess broke and admitted who he was...

The admission suddenly unleashed the loathing of the Jewish Sergeants in the arresting party...

The prisoner was torn from the top bunk, the pajama ripped from his body. He was then dragged naked to one of the slaughter tables, where it seemed to Clarke the blows and screams were endless. Finally a medical officer urged the Captain: ‘Call them off, unless you want to take back a corpse...’

(Hoess) was dragged back to Clarke’s car, where the sergeant poured a substantial slug of whiskey down his throat. Then Hoess tried to sleep. Clarke thrust his service stick under the man’s eyelids and ordered in German: ‘Keep your pig eyes open, you swine...’ The party arrived back at Heide (northern German town) around three in the morning. The snow was swirling still, but the blanket was torn from Hoess and he was made to walk completely nude through the prison yard to his cell. It took three days to get a coherent statement from him.” (Butler, R. *Legions of Death*. 1983. England. p. 235-237)

Another powerful example of the madness of the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials was that the Allies had represented as fact that 300,000 people had perished by gassing at the Dachau Concentration Camp (near Munich). Today no “experts” on the “Holocaust” claim that the “Nazis” gassed even one person at Dachau, and the official death toll has been reduced to approximately 30,000 from all kind of causes. Approximately half the death toll occurred **from disease epidemics** that had ravaged the camp, and **many of the deaths occurred even after the Allies took control** of it.

Even after the liberation of the Dachau camp, thousands of inmates **died of typhus** as the Allies struggled to get the epidemic under control. Allied photographs at the time show speed limit signs in Dachau that read, in English, SPEED LIMIT 5 MPH. DUST SPREADS TYPHUS.

War-torn Europe suffered widespread and catastrophic typhus epidemics. German authorities fought **lice infestation** with disinfestation chambers for clothing and personal articles, just as American jails fight lice by disinfecting prisoners with a delousing spray. **Zyklon B was used only on clothes and other articles and it had to be used within in a custom-built, well ventilated airtight chamber so as not to endanger anyone.**

There were accounts of American soldiers who have related that they knew what the Nazis had done because “they saw it with their own eyes”.

What those soldiers saw were scenes of human suffering and death. They saw piles of corpses emaciated **from hunger and disease**, but according to authorities on the subject, including the famous Nazi hunter **Simon Wiesenthal**, no one saw gas chambers for humans in Germany.

One classic picture shown around the world depicts a helmeted American soldier at Dachau standing next to a heavy metal door painted with a skull and crossbones and the warning “**Vorsicht! Gas! Lebensgefahr! Nicht öffnen!**” (“Careful! Gas! Life threatening! Do not open!”). The photo caption read “Gas Chamber at infamous Nazi ‘death camp’ at Dachau”, but those gas chambers were not used for humans but **for the fumigation of clothing to kill lice, the vermin that spread typhus and other diseases** that killed concentration camp inmates. They were intended to save inmate’s lives, not take them.

However, it was not a big leap for the Zionist war propaganda to represent disinfestation chambers for lice as gas chambers for humans and a mere “concentration camp” as “death camp”.

Thus the American people, misinformed and brainwashed, came to **believe** that their soldiers had seen the results of gassing humans with their own eyes.

In the late '60s and early '70s a significant revision of the “Holocaust” story started. “Death camps” where hundreds of thousands had supposedly been gassed suddenly became now “concentration camps” where there had been no purposeful effort to exterminate the prisoners. Camps such as Dachau (Bavaria), which were formerly alleged to have gassed Jews, suddenly dropped any mention of gassing and their death figures were revised downward. Plaques on the camp gates showing old inflated numbers of victims were quietly replaced. Even the bought pen-pushers, those professional “Holocaust historians” began to classify Dachau as a “concentration camp” (like **Guantanamo Bay**, Cuba) rather than a “death camp”. The previous claims of human gassing in camps on German soil became exposed as a Zionist **war propaganda falsehood**.

After they had failed to prove the existence of gas chambers for humans on German soil, the “Holocaust”-sellers shifted the gas chamber allegations entirely to the “Communist-liberated” camps of the east, claiming that all “death camps” had been there.

Today (January 2003) the Jews are still trying to convince the people of the world that in those eastern “concentration camps” 6 Million Jews were gassed. In the past, however, they came up with different numbers - either much lower and even up to 9 million.

People who want to **believe** that for example six million Jews were exterminated by the “Nazis” must consider the small scale construction of Auschwitz (Poland) and the very few other eastern German work camps that existed during WW II. Simple arithmetic leads to the conclusion that the “Nazis” had to exterminate **137 people per hour** in order for six million Jews to have been exterminated at such small camps as Auschwitz.

The voluminous Nazi records confiscated by the Allies at the end of the war clearly show that the “final solution” **program of the Nazis was one of emigration and deportation, not of extermination**.

Much of the alleged “evidence” presented by the Jews over the years has already been thoroughly discredited. For example, the well-known horrific photographs of piles of corpses taken in camps in western Germany at the end of the war are now acknowledged to be **photos of victims of disease and malnutrition** who perished as indirect victims of the war in the final weeks and months of the conflict. Germans were among those victims too. In fact they died in millions of starvation. Also, so-called “confessions” - such as those of Auschwitz commandant **Rudolf Hoess** - have been shown to be untruthful and extracted by torture. Many of the official reports and testimonies presented as “evidence” by the prosecution in the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials have since been shown to be lies.

The truthfulness of the “Holocaust” is a moral issue. Truth must be a legitimate defense. Truth as defense must not be penalized! Those who maintain that the Nazis have exterminated some one, three, six million or whatever number of Jews by gassing them, must prove it. It hasn’t been proven yet and they refuse to prove it because they want the “Holocaust” extortion business to continue.

The "Holocaust" has been used to deport men from the USA and Canada who, as teenagers, served with the German armed forces. In some cases they have been sent back to certain death in Communist lands. Once a Jew gets hold of a high office in these countries he may use it without any scruples to promote and further the "Holocaust"-agenda. An era of a new "Inquisition" is upon us.

A good example for an "Inquisitor" is the orthodox Jew (see *Talmud for Animals* on this website) and Zionist **Irwin Cotler**. In December 2003 he became the new justice minister of Canada. Only several days after his nomination he announced that he "wants to put the world on notice" that one of his main priorities is to push for suspected Nazi "war criminals" living in Canada to be brought to "justice". We all know what orthodox Jews consider as "justice": **Revenge**. Cotler, the country's first Jewish justice minister, said rooting out former Nazis and those "who have somehow gotten into Canada from the contemporary killing fields," is part of his broader plan to play a key role in exporting "justice expertise". "I'm going to put the world on notice that this is a priority for us," Cotler said.

Irwin Cotler had his advisers. The Canadian Jewish Congress had called on Cotler to change the system so remaining suspects can be brought to Zionist "justice" faster.

"Holocaust denial" has not only become a religion but its denial also an Orwellian thought crime. No similar opprobrium attaches to Gulag denial. Even the word "Holocaust" has come to seem a polemical appropriation of human suffering that verges on the indecent. The "Nazis" who used to brand Jews like cattle by tattooing a number on their arms didn't murder them in millions. **They wanted them deported.** Why should the Nazis, who were known to be very methodical, have tattooed Jews if they knew that they would gas them shortly after the branding? **It makes no (common) sense!** The tattooing was a **bureaucratic security measure for the planned deportation of the Jews**, not for their extermination.

On April 22, 1993, an ugly, monstrous edifice was dedicated in Washington, DC. It is a grotesque "museum" dedicated to the victims of "evil". The only problem is that the majority of people throughout the world have been conditioned to react irrationally to predetermined stimuli. Those who refuse to be used as Pavlovian dogs are summarily isolated from the rest of the dehumanized human herd through use of meaningless but emotionally-charged epithets. Since the preponderance of objective and factual evidence shows the promoters of the "Holocaust" to be libelous frauds, sneak tactics and irrational emotionalism must be used.

All moral and righteous people of the world should be concerned for the **truth**. Only those who are not of the truth must resort to lies and bloodshed.. Jewish Hollywood's and **Steven Spielberg's** ("*Schindler's List*") exploitation of unfortunate dead victims does not prove anything. Filming or photographing dead bodies, and then labeling them according to one's political need is a travesty of justice and truth beyond the capability of morally responsible individuals. Facts must be correctly interpreted before they can tell something of truth.

Worst of all, while the gullible American people are being lulled into very dangerous apathy by being fed psycho-babble, a real "Holocaust", including child murder, is taking place day by day in occupied **Palestine**.

The Holocaust Museums exhibit no proof that homicidal gas chambers existed anywhere in Europe, and no proof that even one child, woman or man was "gassed" at any Nazi camp liberated by the Allies. In Washington, "proof" for a gas chamber at **Birkenau** is a plastic model created by a Polish artist. A plastic copy of a metal door is displayed as "proof" of a homicidal gas chamber at **Maidanek**. And the "Museum" has simply "dropped" the **Auschwitz** gas chamber, the basement room visited yearly by hundreds of thousands of tourists in Poland. There is no mention of the alleged gas chambers at **Buchenwald** or even at **Dachau**, where after World War Two American G.I.s and German civilians were assured that more than 200,000 victims were "gassed and burned".

Deborah Lipstadt, the ferocious persecutor of **David Irving**, argues in her book *Denying the Holocaust*, that revisionists ("deniers") should not be debated because there "can not be" another side to the Holocaust story. She charges that it is "hateful" to listen to a defense

of those accused of mass murder! In essence, **she argues that even truth is no defense. "Holocaust" has become a religion.**

However, autopsies made by Allied medical personnel found that inmates died of disease. **Not one was found to have been "gassed".**

Forbidden or even penalized (in Germany, Canada, France, etc.) to judge the significance of the evidence of the alleged "Holocaust", dissidents are asked to accept false and manipulative suggestions of the Jews about a "genocide" which never happened. Paid pen-pushers, pathetic academic bureaucrats, career-driven professors and an opulent Holocaust Lobby of self-described intellectual "giants" are those who form the last barrier against a free exchange of ideas and evidence.

The "Nazis" realistically saw the Jews as being an influential force behind international communism. Today no serious historian doubts that anymore. During the Second World War, **Jews in Germany were considered to be "evil" enemies of the German State and a potential danger to the war efforts of Germany**, much like the Japanese were viewed in the USA. No serious historian doubts that any more. Consequently, **as a matter of presumed preemptive self-defense on behalf of the German people** the Nazis stripped the "evil" Jews of their rights, forced them to live in ghettos, conscripted them for labor, deprived them of their property, deported them from the countries of their birth and administered other "special treatment". By doing so the Nazis have violated the legal principle of proportionality over and over again. But did they gas millions of Jews following an "evil" plan?

"Revisionists" generally hold that the governments of the Western allies decided to carry their wartime "black propaganda" of alleged Nazi monstrosities over into the postwar period because:

First, they wanted to divert attention from and **to justify their own particularly brutal war crimes and crimes against humanity** which, apart from Soviet atrocities, involved massive incendiary bombings of the civilian populations of German and Japanese cities (Dresden, Hiroshima, Nagasaki).

Second, they needed justification for the postwar arrangements which, among other things, involved **the annexation of large parts of Germany into Poland**. These territories were not disputed borderlands but included **huge parts of Germany territory**. The millions of Germans living in these regions were to be dispossessed of their property and brutally expelled from their homelands. Many hundreds of thousands if not millions were to perish in the process. A similar fate was to befall the Sudeten-Germans.

All available documentation indicates that there was no order for the alleged genocide of the European Jews, no budget, no weapon (that is, no so-called execution gas chamber) and no victim (that is, not a single autopsied body at any camp has been shown to have been gassed).

The Polish Auschwitz State Museum has revised its half-century-old claim that **4 million** humans were murdered there to now **1 million**. What proof does the Museum provide to document the 1 million figure? None!

Instead, the Poles who are still occupying one third of German land have put on display piles of hair, boots and eyeglasses, etc. While such displays are effective propaganda devices, they are worthless as historical documentation for "gassings" or a program of "extermination".

If there had been "killing factories" in Poland murdering millions of civilians, then the Red Cross, the Pope, humanitarian agencies, the Allied governments, neutral governments, and prominent figures such as Roosevelt, Truman, Churchill, Eisenhower and many others would have known about it and would have often and unambiguously mentioned it, and/or condemned it. They didn't!

Winston Churchill, no friend of the Germans and responsible for the "Dresden Holocaust", wrote the six volumes of his monumental work, *The Second World War*, without mentioning a program of mass-murder and genocide.

Dwight D. Eisenhower, an passionate German-hater, in his memoir *Crusade in Europe*, also failed to mention gas chambers. Could it have slipped his mind? Was the alledged weapon used to murder millions of Jews unworthy of a passing reference?

In an interview given to Jewish revisionist **David Cole** the former Senior Curator and Director of Archives at the Polish Auschwitz State Museum, **Franciszek Piper**, stated on camera that the alleged "homicidal gas chamber" at the Auschwitz main camp shown off to tourists from all over the world as being in its "original state" is, in fact, **a reconstruction, redesigned after the war** to look like a gas chamber.

Franciszek Piper revealed to **David Cole** on camera, how walls were knocked down and holes with "Zyklon B induction chimneys" installed in the roof so that the building could be exhibited as a "proof" of the "final solution". Then, in stunning footage, you'll see **David Cole**, while on the official tour of Auschwitz, being told by his guide that the Auschwitz main-camp "gas chamber" is in its **original state**, the same lie told to all tourists. **David Cole**, a Jew, stated the following:

"Now that I've gone through the Auschwitz main camp, Auschwitz-Birkenau, Majdanek, Mauthausen, and Dachau, I feel even more secure in my position as a Revisionist that there exists no convincing evidence that Jews or anyone else were taken en masse into gas chambers and killed by the Nazis at these camps. In fact, the remains that I inspected at the camp sites seem, in many different ways, to directly contradict these claims.

I returned to the United States with more than 25 hours of video footage from the camps. At Majdanek I uncovered obvious tampering with the buildings exhibited as gas chambers. This evidence was discovered when my attractive camerawoman busted a lock and got us into a room that is not open to tourists. There we were able to view several items in their original state, most notably the doors, which were clearly constructed to latch from both the outside and the inside."

The importance of Franciszek Piper's revelations is obvious. Normally the **burden of proof** would now have shifted decisively to the Jewish side but nothing is normal about the "Holocaust" claim. The Jews need the "Holocaust religion" in order to be able to continue exploiting the USA and Germany.

There is no Final Solution order from Hitler. Mainstream "experts" acknowledge the lack of it claiming that the Führer was too shrewd to leave behind palpable evidence of his evildoing. "(That Hitler would not sign a written order) is hardly surprising considering the monstrosity of the crimes being committed," notes British historian **Alan Bullock**.

In 1976, **Arthur Butz**, an American professor from Northwestern University, published *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*. Butz argued that although Jews were persecuted by the Nazis, they were not specifically targeted for mass extermination. According to Butz, less than half a million people died in Nazi concentration camps during WWII, and only a fraction of them were Jews.

"Holocaust" promoters agree that the Nazis installed gas chambers at only seven camps in Poland - Auschwitz (and its satellite facility Birkenau), Stutthof, Treblinka, Chelmno, Sobibor, Majdanek, and Belzec. During the long decades of the Cold War the camps and the tons of documents captured by the Russians have been restricted from Western researchers. Today, the only gas chambers are found at Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek. Basic operating equipment is missing at all of those facilities. None of the chambers currently have air-tight doors, venting systems for piping gas or exhaust systems for removing the gas after the alleged victims have been killed. Historians agree that the gas chambers used a cyanide-based insecticide called Zyklon-B.

As mentioned before, revisionists argue that the Nazis shipped large quantities of Zyklon-B to concentration camps during the war to kill lice and other insects. Delousing rooms, they point out, can still be found at many of the camps. They also argue that Zyklon-B does not vanish without a trace, but bonds permanently with porous surfaces like bricks and mortar.

According to **Rick Gates**, a chemist with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality who is not involved in the revisionist debate, “Cyanide traces can remain in [such materials] a long time”.

Acting on this scientific principle, **Fred Leuchter** and several companions traveled to Poland in 1988, where they took samples from the walls and floors of the gas chambers at Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek. According to the “Leuchter Report”, only minimal traces of cyanide could be found in the gas chambers. This shortage of forensic evidence leads Leuchter to conclude that the rooms could not have been used as gas chambers.

Even if Jews were gassed by the Nazis, without a plan to eradicate Europe’s Jewry, they were no worse than many other military aggressors in recorded history: “The (alleged) killings (perpetrated by the Nazis), the pits and so on, were no worse than what the Americans did in Vietnam in **My Lai**”, claims **David Irving**, referring to the March 16, 1968, slaughter by American ground troops of nearly 300 unarmed and unresisting Vietnam civilians, many of whom were forced to stand on the edge of a ditch and machine-gunned. “There are eyewitness descriptions of both. But the idea of setting up killing factories, with the gas chambers and so on, implies a certain degree of industrialization and precision that, frankly, I don't think [belongs] in the record”, Irving said.

Revisionists also point out that the “Holocaust” story has changed several times over the years. Many “extermination camps” that were once widely accepted as such have been quietly dropped in recent years and “downgraded to “concentration camps” (Dachau, Buchenwald and other concentration camps in Germany). Even famed "Nazi hunter" **Simon Wiesenthal** acknowledged already in 1975 that “**there were no extermination camps on German soil.**” (*Books & Bookmen*, London, April 1975, p.5).

"Holocaust" promoters now claim that masses of Jews were gassed at just six camps in what is now Poland: Auschwitz, Majdanek, Treblinka, Sobibor, Chelmno and Belzec. However, the "evidence" presented for “gassings” at these six camps is not qualitatively different from the “evidence” for alleged “gassings” at the camps in Germany proper.

At the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials of 1945-1946 and during the decades following the end of the Second World War, Auschwitz (especially Auschwitz-Birkenau) and Majdanek (Lublin) were generally regarded as the really important “death camps”. For example, the revenge thirsty Allies alleged at Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials that the Nazis killed

- **4 million at Auschwitz** and
- **1.5 million at Majdanek.**

Today, no reputable historian accepts these fantastic figures any more.

Detailed aerial reconnaissance photographs taken of Auschwitz-Birkenau on several random days in 1944 (during the height of the alleged extermination period there) were made public by the CIA in 1979. They show no trace of the piles of corpses, smoking chimneys and masses of Jews awaiting death, all of which have been alleged and would have been clearly visible if Auschwitz had indeed been an extermination center.

At one time it was also seriously claimed that the Nazis exterminated Jews with **electricity** and **steam**. For example, at the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials the United States charged that Nazis killed Jews at Treblinka, not in gas chambers, as is now claimed, but **by steaming them to death in “steam chambers”** (Nuremberg document PS-3311 (USA-293). IMT blue series, Vol. 32, pp. 153-158; IMT, Vol 3, pp. 566-568.; NMT green series, Vol. 5, pp. 1133, 1134.) **These bizarre stories have also been quietly abandoned in the meantime.**

If there had been an extermination program, the Jews found by Allied forces at the end of the war would have long since been killed. In the face of the advancing Soviet forces, large numbers of Jews were evacuated during the final months of the war from eastern camps and ghettos to the remaining camps in western Germany. These camps quickly became terribly overcrowded, **which severely hampered efforts to prevent the spread**

of epidemics. Furthermore, the breakdown of the German transportation system made it impossible to supply adequate food and medicine to the camps.

At the end of the Second World War, the Allies confiscated a tremendous quantity of Nazi documents dealing with the Nazis' wartime Jewish policy, which was sometimes officially referred to as the "final solution", **but not a single Nazi document has ever been found which even refers to an extermination program.** To the contrary, the documents clearly show that the "final solution" policy of the Nazis was one **of emigration and deportation, not extermination.**

Consider, for example, the **confidential German Foreign Office memorandum** of August 21, 1942 (Nuremberg document NG-2586-J. NMT green series, Vol. 13, pp. 243-249):

"The present war gives Germany the opportunity and also the duty of solving the Jewish problem in Europe", the memorandum notes. The policy "to promote the evacuation of the Jews (from Europe) in closest cooperation with the agencies of the Reichsführer SS (Himmler) is still in force".

The memo noted that "the number of Jews deported in this way to the East did not suffice to cover the labor needs". The document quotes German Foreign Minister **Heinrich von Ribbentrop** as saying that

"at the end of this war, all Jews would have to leave Europe. This was an unalterable decision of the Führer (Hitler) and also the only way to master this problem, as only a global and comprehensive solution could be applied and individual measures would not help very much."

The memorandum concludes by stating that the

"deportations (of Jews to the East) are a further step on the way to the total solution . . . The deportation to the (Polish) General Government is a temporary measure. The Jews will be moved on further to the occupied (Soviet) eastern territories as soon as the technical conditions for it are given."

This unambiguous document, and others like it, are **routinely suppressed or ignored** by those who have a vested interest to uphold the "Holocaust" story.

Holocaust believers rely heavily on so-called "survivor testimony" to support the extermination story. But such "evidence" is notoriously unreliable. As one Jewish historian has pointed out, *"most of the memoirs and reports [of alleged "Holocaust survivors"] are full of preposterous verbosity, graphomantic exaggeration, dramatic effects, overestimated self-inflation, dilettante philosophizing, would-be lyricism, unchecked rumors, bias, partisan attacks and apologies."* (**Samuel Gringauz** in "Jewish Social Studies", January 1950, Vol. 12, p. 65)

There is simply no documentary evidence at all that **Adolf Hitler** ever gave any order to exterminate all European Jews, or that he knew of any extermination program. Instead, **the record shows that Hitler together with most Europeans wanted the Jews to leave Europe**

- **by emigration**, if possible and
- **by deportation**, if necessary.

A document found after the war in the files of the Reich Ministry of Justice records Hitler's thinking on the Jews. In the spring of 1942, State Secretary **Franz Schlegelberger** noted in a memorandum that Hitler's Chief of Chancellery, **Dr. Hans Lammers**, had informed him:

"The Führer has repeatedly declared to him (Lammers) that he wants to see the solution of the Jewish problem postponed until after the war is over." (Nuremberg document PS-4025. D. Irving, *Goering: A biography*. 1989. p. 349.)

And on July 24, 1942, Adolf Hitler emphasized his determination to remove all Jews from Europe after the war:

“The Jews are interested in Europe for economic reasons, but Europe must reject them, if only out of self-interest, because the Jews are racially tougher. After this war is over, I will rigorously hold to the view . . . that the Jews will have to leave and emigrate to Madagascar or some other Jewish national state.” (H. Picker, *"Hitlers Tischgespräche im Führerhauptquartier"* . 1976. p. 456).

The head of the SS camp administration office sent a directive dated Dec. 28, 1942, to every concentration camp, including Auschwitz. It sharply criticized the high death rate of inmates **due to disease**, and ordered that

- “*camp physicians must use all means at their disposal to significantly reduce the death rate in the various camps*”, ...
- “*camp doctors must supervise more often than in the past the nutrition of the prisoners and, in cooperation with the administration, submit improvement recommendations to the camp commandants*”, . . .
- “*camp doctors are to see to it that the working conditions at the various labor places are improved as much as possible*”, ...
- “*The Reichsführer SS [Heinrich Himmler] has ordered that the death rate absolutely must be reduced.*” (Nuremberg document PS-2171, Annex 2; NC&A red series, Vol. 4, pp. 833-834).

The head of the SS department that supervised the concentration camps, **Richard Glucks**, sent a circular letter to each camp commandant dated January 20, 1943. In it he ordered: “*As I have already pointed out, every means must be used to lower the death rate in the camp.*” (Nuremberg document NO-1523; NMT green series, Vol. 5, pp. 372-373)

Even after more than forty years, the stream of Holocaust films and books shows no sign of diminishing. This relentless media campaign, which Jewish historian **Alfred Lilienthal** calls “*Holocaustomania*”, portrays the fate of the Jews during the Second World War as the central event of history. There is no end to the heavy-handed motion pictures, the simplistic television specials, the vindictive hunt for “Nazi-war criminals”, the one-sided “educational courses”, and the self-righteous appearances by politicians and celebrities at Holocaust “memorial services”. Britain’s chief rabbi, **Immanuel Jakobovits**, has accurately described the Holocaust business as “**an entire industry, with handsome profits for writers, researchers, film-makers, monument builders, museum planners and even politicians**”. He added that some rabbis and theologians are “partners in this big business”. (H. Shapiro, “*Jakobovits,*” *Jerusalem Post*. Nov. 26, 1987. p.1)

The perpetual Holocaust story is used to justify enormous American and German support for Israel and to excuse otherwise inexcusable Israeli policies, even when they conflict with American and/or German interests. The sophisticated and well-financed Holocaust mafia is crucially important to the interests of Israel, which owes its existence to massive annual subsidies from American and German taxpayers. As Prof. **W. D. Rubinstein** of Australia has candidly acknowledged:

“If the Holocaust can be shown to be a ‘Zionist myth’, the strongest of all weapons in Israel's propaganda armory collapses.” (*Quadrant*. Australia. Sept. 1979, p. 27).

Jewish history teacher Paula Hyman of Columbia University has observed:

“*With regard to Israel, the Holocaust may be used to forestall political criticism and suppress debate; it reinforces the sense of Jews as an eternally beleaguered people who can rely for their defense only upon themselves. The invocation of the suffering endured by the Jews under the Nazis often takes the place of rational argument, and is expected to convince doubters of the legitimacy of current Israeli government policy.*” (*New York Times Magazine*, Sept. 14, 1980, p. 79).

But not only the Jews and non-Jewish Zionists have a vested interest in further prolonging the “Holocaust lie”, so have the victorious powers of the Second World War. The more “evil” and “satanic” the Hitler regime appears, the less evil and satanic were Dresden, Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

For many Jews, the Holocaust has become both a flourishing business and a kind of **new religion**, as noted Jewish author and newspaper publisher **Jacobo Timerman** points out in his book *The Longest War*. He reports that many Israelis, using the word “Shoah”, which is Hebrew for “Holocaust”, joke that “*There’s no business like Shoah Business*” (*The Longest War*. 1982. p. 15).

Norman Finkelstein, also a Jew, calls it “*The Holocaust Industry*”. If nothing else, “Shoa” demonstrates the irrepressible Zionist influence and control of the USA and Germany.

Holocaust-Hollywood portrays Jews as innocent victims, and non-Jews as “evil” who can easily turn into murderous Nazis under the right circumstances.

A key lesson of the “Holocaust” story for Jews is that non-Jews are never completely trustworthy. If a people as highly cultured and as highly educated as the Germans who have given to humanity the greatest composers (Beethoven, Mozart, Bach, Brahms, Händel, Wagner, Weber, Schubert, Haydn, Schumann, etc.) and philosophers (Kant, Hegel, Nietzsche, Herder, Jaspers, Lessing, Heidegger, Wittgenstein, Leibniz, Fichte, Feuerbach, Schopenhauer, etc.), and who have invented the basic technologies of our time (gas engine, Diesel engine, rocket and aircraft technology, etc.) finds enough reason to turn against the Jews, so their thinking goes, than surely no non-Jewish nation can ever be completely trusted. **The Holocaust message is thus one of contempt for the non-jewish humanity**, particularly hate against the German people.

The Romanian Jew, Zionist and German-hater **Elie Wiesel**, a former Auschwitz inmate who survived (how come?) and served as chairman of the official U.S. Holocaust Memorial Council, wrote in his book *Legends of Our Time*: “**Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate - healthy, virile hate - for what the German personifies** (see before) **and for what persists in the German.**” (*Legends of Our Time*. 1982. chap. 12. p. 142).

“Holocaust” is a religion. You either **believe** it or not. It has nothing to do with truth. It has nothing to do with Anglo-Saxon let alone Germanic jurisprudence. Where religion reigns nothing has to be proven. **“One cannot refute a religion with scientific arguments”**. (Robert Faurisson)

The day is fast approaching when all the evidence showing that the Nazis never exterminated six million Jews can no longer be suppressed. **À la longue truth is not determined by majority vote**. That US-presidents, US senators and US congressmen are all supposed to **believe** that the Nazis by following an “evil” plan killed deliberately six million Jews, doesn’t make that belief a fact.

The Holocaust religion cannot be defended with rational arguments because its absurdity is overwhelming. People are asked to believe

- in the fata morgana of a vast slaughter in killing factories which left no traces whatsoever - no documents, no bones, no teeth, no ashes - nothing,
- that the Allies, who had a large network of informers all over Europe and a spy in the Nazi leadership (Admiral Canaris, the head of the German intelligence), did not become aware of this gigantic genocide until the end of the war,
- that the Jews in Poland, the alleged epicenter of the “Holocaust”, did not know anything about the Auschwitz gas chambers as late as in August 1944, otherwise the Jews from the Lodz ghetto would not have gone to Auschwitz voluntarily - which is precisely what they did, as related by **Raul Hilberg** in his standard work about the “Holocaust” (*Die Vernichtung der europäischen Juden*, p. 543/544),

- that **Winston Churchill**, no friend of the Germans and himself responsible for the “Dresden Holocaust”, did just forget about the “Holocaust” when he wrote the six volumes of his monumental work *The Second World War* without mentioning a program of massmurder and genocide,

- that **Dwight D. Eisenhower**, an passionate German-hater, just forgot to mention gas chambers in his memoir *Crusade in Europe*.

As the Zionist-controlled system of the “Western democracies” is unable to counter the “revisionists” with scientific arguments, it resorts to censorship and a brute “Inquisition” in order to silence the dangerous **heretics**.

Having created “Holocaustism” as a unscientific and and therefore not refutable religion appeared at first to be a very clever Jewish strategy, but **beware of the end**.

The Germans, watching that “Holocaust” story playing on, are thinking of Goethe’s Faust (“*Die Geister, die ich rief, werd’ ich nicht mehr los...*“), continue to pay and to pay and to pay, while on behalf of International Judaism their reigning lackeys are persecuting German “Holocaust” dissidents with utter brutality, ... and they wait with pre-emptive and silent “Schadenfreude” to see how the Jews will try “*to put the (“evil”) genie back into the bottle*” while they still continue to spread their museums and monuments (Orwellian speak for “temples and synagogues”) of their new Holocaust religion all over America and Europe, led by professional “Holocaust survivors” such as **Elie Wiesel** as high priests. To prove this assertion, we only have to quote that **Wiesel-weasel** himself: “*The Holocaust is a holy mystery, the secret of which is limited to the circle of the priesthood of survivors*” (Peter Novick, *The Holocaust in American Life*, 1999, p. 211, 212, retranslated from the German). Another high priest of the holocaust cult, **Simon Wiesenthal**, goes even further: “*When each of us comes before the Six Million, we will be asked what we did with our lives... I will say: I did not forget you*” (Simon Wiesenthal in *Response*, Vol. 20, Nr. 1).

No critical questions about the holocaust are allowed because they are a **blasphemy**. In today’s Zionist-controlled Germany, it is even considered inadmissible to compare the alleged “Holocaust” with the atrocities of communist tyrants such as **Stalin** or Cambodian dictator **Pol Pot** because this is regarded as a “relativisation” and “trivialization” of the “worst crime in history”. That crime, however, is not the “Holocaust” itself, but **not believing** in the “Holocaust” religion or, even more to the point: **The worst crime of Non-Jews is not to believe the lies of Jews** (see Martin Luther, *The Jews and their Lies* on this website).

French Zionist propagandist **Claude Lanzmann**, the producer of the film “*Shoa*”, makes no effort to conceal that the “Holocaust” religion is to replace Christianity:

"If Auschwitz is something other than a horror of history, then Christianity totters in its foundations. Christ is the Son of God, who went to the end of the humanely endurable, where he endured the cruellest suffering. (...) If Auschwitz is true, then there is a human suffering with which that of Christ simply cannot be compared. (...) In this case, Christ is false, and salvation will not come from him. (...) Auschwitz is the refutation of Christ." (*Les temps modernes*, Paris, December 1993, p. 132, 133.)

While the Zionist leadership keeps on exploiting the “Shoa”/“Holocaust” business, some anxious Jews begin to wonder what will happen to them once the false religion collapses. What will happen to them who are more than ever the most despised people on the face the earth? Millions and millions of Germans and Austrians who have been silenced by brute force will awake! Millions of other nations and nationalities who helped the Jews to silence Germans and Austrians will awake! They will feel utterly betrayed and used. **The misplaced guilt of the Germans and Austrians might turn into a terrible rage!** “*An Imaginary Holocaust May Lead to a Real Holocaust*”, as the title of an article by **Robert Faurisson** says.

In Germany, opinion polls showed that already today a vast majority of the German Volk was against the planned “Holocaust” monument in Berlin which not a single of the reigning “German” vassal parties (CDU, CSU, SPD, FDP, Grüne), not a single of their vassal politicians

and not a single vassal newspaper dared to oppose. Privately, those bought politicians are as profoundly disgusted with the endless “Holocaust” litany as the rest of the German Volk, but they cannot possibly afford to let the “revisionists” around the maverick **Horst Mahler** win because this would shatter the very foundations of the false “democratic” system to which they own their careers and their wealth.

The political consequences and the economical “**Rebbach**” (Jiddish for “**Profit by fraud**”) of the “Holocaust” since 1945 have been tremendous:

- In the mind of the manipulated and brain-washed people all over the world, the “Holocaust” is as real as the Second World War or the Egyptian pyramids, while *real* genocides, such as the artificially provoked Ukrainian famine in which several million people were deliberately starved to death by the Communists in 1932/1933, are all but forgotten,

- “*Without the Holocaust, there would be no Jewish state.*” This candid statement was made by **Robert Goldman**, the long-time chairman of the Jewish World Congress (*Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 19. December 1997, p. 9). Without the alleged “Holocaust”, the world would never have permitted the founding of a Jewish state in Palestine three years after the war.

- Without the “Holocaust” International Zionism wouldn’t have had a chance to proceed with its ruthless brutality against the Arabs, leveling whole Arab villages and killing hundreds of thousand of them (Deir Yassein was but one of many massacres; see *Das andere Böse* on this website),

- Without the “Holocaust” a great proportion of the Palestinians wouldn’t have been expelled from the land of their ancestors. The ones who remained behind have been subject to severe repression ever since. Israeli soldiers are shooting unarmed Palestinian demonstrators, many of whom are children, every day.

- Without the “Holocaust” the Zionist parasite state would not be viable. Its chief source of revenue consists of financial injections from America and Germany, support from international Jewry and German reparations. Germany has payed hundreds of billions to Israel as **extortion money**, either in cash or in form of enormous deliveries of commodities.

- “*Without the German reparations that started coming during its first ten years as a state, Israel would not have half of its present infrastructure. All the trains are German, and the same goes for electrical installations and a great deal of Israel’s industry*“ (Nahum Goldmann, *Das jüdische Paradox*, Europäische Verlagsanstalt. 1978. p. 171). In 1999, Germany provided Israel with ultra-modern submarines which can carry nuclear missiles. The Israelis did not have to pay a penny - the submarines were another token of German atonement for the “Holocaust”!

- Without the “Holocaust” International Jewry was less immune from criticism about their “evil” doings all over the world. Even the slightest criticism of Jewish power and Jewish arrogance - for example the heavy influence of the Jews in the mass media of the West, the staggeringly high number of Jews in the Clinton and Dubya-Bush administration, or the impertinent behaviour of the Central Jewish Council in Germany - is immediately shouted down with screams about Auschwitz. The effectiveness of this intimidation is demonstrated by the following fact: The most obnoxious criminal organisation in the world, “The Jewish Mafia” of Russia, is falsely referred to as the “*Russian Mafia*” (see Jürgen Roth *Die Russen-Mafia*. 1996). In today’s Russia, five or six out of the seven big “oligarchs” who made their fabulous fortunes with money stolen from the Russian Volk are Jews. This is never mentioned in the Zionist media of the West.

- Without the “Holocaust” Jews wouldn’t have been able to create contempt for the German nation. Since 1945, the German Volk have been branded with a mark of shame. Self-contempt and self-hatred is the prevailing trend, while self-respect and nationalism are held in contempt.

- Without the “Holocaust” there wouldn’t be any plans for a “New World Order” (George Bush senior) which means that the USA, as the undisputed Zionist controlled and Jewish ruled superpower, can impose its policy and its dubious values on all other countries.

If the “Holocaust” were publicly exposed as a **shameless fraud**,

- Jews undoubtedly would be brutally persecuted and a real "Holocaust" might happen.
- The Zionist-led "New World Order" would be all but finished.
- Germany would become ungovernable. The German people would feel nothing but hatred and contempt for the politicians, intellectuals and journalists who betrayed and humiliated them day after day. The whole establishment of Germany would be hopelessly discredited. On August 15, 1994, journalist Patrick Bahners, commenting on the trial of "revisionist" **Günter Deckert** who was sent to prison for “Holocaust denial”, wrote in the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*: “If Deckert's attitude on the holocaust were correct, the Federal Republic of Germany would be based on a lie. Every presidential speech, every minute of silence, every history book would be a lie. Therefore, he (Deckert), by denying the genocide of the Jews, disputes the legitimacy of the Federal Republic of Germany.” In a pre-emptive strike to save their skins some German opinion makers now openly declare that the “Holocaust” is the foundation of the post-war German state. This is shown by a quotation from the Springer newspaper *Die Welt* (April 28, 1994): “Whoever denies the truth about the National socialist extermination camps relinquishes the foundations upon which the Federal Republic of Germany was built.”

- In other Western countries, the belief in the so-called “democratic system” would be profoundly shaken as people would ask themselves why this charade had to be propped up with censorship and naked terror for more than half a century.

- International Jewry and the State of Israel would be beyond repair. No non-Jew would be willing to support the Zionist parasite state any more. German reparations would stop overnight, and the USA would have to reduce its financial aid to Israel so drastically that it would be bankrupt in a short while.

- The anger of the Arabs and especially of the Palestinians would assume gigantic dimensions as they would understand that Palestine had been stolen and their sons been shot in the name of a gigantic lie.

The ultimate weapon against Zionism and the state of Israel is the truth.

Debunking the lies of the Jews (see Martin Luther, *The Jews and their Lies*) has been successful before. It happened with their lies that the Nazis killed them with electricity and/or with steam. **And then, of course, there was the “Jewish Soap Opera”.**

The story that the Nazis made soap from the bodies of Jews is perhaps one of the most outlandish examples of the fraudulent nature of the evidence and conduct of the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials. During those trials, **L. N. Smirnov**, chief counselor of justice for the U.S.S.R., declared:

“The same base, rationalized SS technical minds which created gas chambers and murder vans, began devising such methods of ... the production of soap from human bodies and the tanning of human skin for industrial purposes...” (Nuremberg exhibit, U.S.S.R. #197)

Allied prosecutors produced affidavits that alleged that **Dr. Rudolf Spanner**, head of the Danzig (East Prussia; today Poland) Institute, had called for the production of soap from the bodies of concentration camp inmates. Dr. Spanner's supposed formula for human soap was presented (Nuremberg document U.S.S.R. #196), and actual soap presumed to be made from humans was submitted to the IMT (Nuremberg exhibit U.S.S.R. #393).

Sir Hartley Shawcross, chief British prosecutor, in his summation to the court **boldly lied**: “*On occasion, even the bodies of their [the Nazis] victims were used to make good the wartime shortage of soap.*”

As part of the Nuremberg verdict, the so-called “judges” repeated that outlandish lie by stating, “*attempts were made to utilize the fat from the bodies of the victims in the commercial manufacture of soap.*” (Porter, Carlos. 1988. *Made in Russia*. Facsimile reprint from IMT. Blue Series. Vol. 1. p. 252) This sensational allegation then made headlines all over the world and some Jewish outlets are still repeating that utter nonsense today.

After the Nuremberg Trials, the grotesque “Jewish soap opera” grew with each recounting. Survivors recounted washing their bodies with Jewish soap. Nazi hunter **Simon Wiesenthal** wrote about the human soap during the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials. In 1946, in the Austrian Jewish Community paper *Der Neue Weg*, Wiesenthal wrote:

“*During the last weeks of March the Romanian press reported an unusual piece of news: In the small Romanian city of Folticeni twenty boxes of soap were buried in the Jewish cemetery with full ceremony and complete funeral rites. This soap had been found recently in a former German army depot. On the boxes were the initials RIF, ‘Pure Jewish Fat’. These boxes were destined for the Waffen-SS. The wrapping paper revealed with completely cynical objectivity that this soap was manufactured from Jewish bodies. Surprisingly, the thorough Germans forgot to describe whether the soap was produced from children, girls, men or elderly persons.*” (Wiesenthal, Simon. 1946. *Der Neue Weg*. 17/18. p. 4-5)

The allegation that the Nazis made soap out of Jews during the last years of the war and would wash their hands with it was presented simply as a grim fact of the inhumanity of the Nazis against the Jews. It was repeated in books such as the greatly media-touted, **William Shirer's** *Rise and Fall of the Third Reich* and in thousands of articles, documentaries, and even in textbooks. (Shirer, W. L. 1960. *The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich: A History of Nazi Germany*. New York. p. 971)

In Israel, there have even been Jewish funerals for bars of soap alleged to be the remains of Jews. The soap bars, wrapped in funeral shrouds, were interred according to solemn Jewish ritual.

Every article, statement, affidavit and drama about the Germans making soap from the bodies of Jews has been shown false.

After the war, the Allies initiated indictment proceedings against **Dr. Rudolf Spanner**. After a lengthy investigation, the prosecutor's office found no evidence that the Danzig Institute had ever made soap of human bodies, and they dropped charges against him.

It turned out that the initials “RIF” that appeared on the soap in question did not stand for “Pure Jewish Fat” but for the official name of the government agency that distributed soap and other cleansers which was the “**Reichsstelle für Industrielle Fettversorgung**” (“Reich’s Office for Industrial Fat Provisioning”). In fact, “Pure Jewish Fat” would have been “**RJF**” (“**Rein Jüdisches Fett**”), not “RIF”, but in the hysterical anti-German atmosphere at the end of the war, the brainwashed authorities of the victors, “embedded” with the International Zionists, would not let simple facts get in the way. When finally confronted with the “pure truth”, they had to admit that the soap opera was a lie or lose credibility.

Jewish historian **Walter Laqueur**, in his 1980 book *The Terrible Secret*, acknowledged that the human soap story was a fantasy. (Laqueur, W. *The Terrible Secret: Suppression of the Truth About Hitler's ‘Final Solution’*. 1981)

Gitta Sereny, another famed Jewish historian, noted in her book *Into That Darkness* that “the universally accepted story that the corpses were used to make soap and fertilizer is finally refuted by the generally very reliable Ludwigsburg Central Authority for Investigation into Nazi Crimes”. (Sereny, Gitta. *Into That Darkness: From Mercy Killing to Mass Murder*. 1974. p. 141)

And even the Jewess **Deborah Lipstadt**, the tenacious persecutor of **David Irving**, wrote in 1981 that “the Nazis never used the bodies of Jews, or for that matter anyone else, for the production of soap.” (*Los Angeles Times*. 1981. *Nazi Soap Rumor During World War II*. May 16. p. IV2)

Finally, in April 1990, the man acclaimed as the world’s foremost “Holocaust” historian, Professor **Yehuda Bauer**, director of Holocaust studies at Hebrew University in Tel Aviv, as well as **Shmuel Krakowski**, former archives director of Israel’s “Yad Vashem Holocaust Center”, stated that the human soap stories were not true. Bauer said that camp inmates “were prepared to believe any horror stories about their persecutors”. In May 1990 **Yehuda Bauer** admitted that: “**The Nazis never made soap from Jews . . .**”. (*The Jerusalem Post*, International Edition, 5 May 1990, p. 6)

The fact that so many people could ever have seriously believed that the Germans produced and then distributed bars of soap brazenly labeled with letters indicating that they were manufactured from Jewish corpses shows how readily even the most absurd fables can be accepted as truth. “Common sense” should have told anybody that the very last thing Germans, who are well known for their cleanliness, would have done was wash their bodies with soap made from “evil” Jews.

Just as the “Jewish Soap Opera” turned out to be a gigantic falsehood, there is a wealth of information that also contradicts many of the other popular beliefs of the “Holocaust” religion.

No one denies that the Nazis - like other Europeans - wanted to get rid of the Jews by exporting them to Madagascar, USA or elsewhere. No one denies that for that purpose they rounded them up by using cattle trains (“do, ut des”; see *Talmud for Animals* on this website) from all over occupied Europe and put them into “concentration camps” (like the Americans did with Japanese-Americans) where a lot of them died. The only thing “Revisionists” deny is that there was a central program, plan, policy or order by the Nazi government to exterminate all of the Jews. Revisionists claim that the Nazis created the camps to confine Jews because they considered them a security risk, much like the American government rounded up and incarcerated Japanese after the Pearl Harbor attack or Muslims on **Guantanamo Bay** (Cuba) after the 9/11-attack, in “concentration camps” for security reasons, and then argue that scientific and documentary evidence supports their position and that the proponents of the “Holocaust” religion - as they did it with the “Jewish Soap Opera” - must ruthlessly suppress debate about it in order to keep the historical biggest extortion network and business going.

In the 1990s, thousands of individuals all over the Western world, including many scholars and researchers, have been harassed, intimidated, physically attacked, fired, fined and even jailed simply for offering evidence that challenges (parts of) the “Holocaust” religion.

In Germany professors, judges, teachers, authors and publishers have been fired from their jobs and/or have been fined tens of thousands of dollars merely for expressing their “politically incorrect” opinions.

On the other hand, there was indeed a real Holocaust in Europe. It was caused by Allied forces in Dresden, which was a city of priceless artistic and cultural treasure **of all humanity**. Dresden was an Allied “experiment”. Britain and the USA wanted to find out if they could create a “firestorm” by dumping tons of incendiary bombs on the city center. The bombing set the entire inner city ablaze, creating hurricane-like winds that fed the flames. Asphalt bubbled and flowed in the street like lava. When the aerial attack was over, around 100,000 people had perished. To avoid the spread of disease, the authorities were forced to burn the ghastly remains of tens of thousands of people in grotesque funeral pyres.

Dresden had no military significance and when it was bombed the war was practically won. Besides Hiroshima and Nagasaki, the bombing of Dresden was the most terrible war crime of the Second World War but unlike the “Nazis” in the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials Churchill, Roosevelt, Truman, Eisenhower and their Zionist pimps were never held responsible.

Today the British government admits that their Air Ministry, from February 1942, embarked on a policy of targeting German civilians for bombing. More than 600,000 men,

women and children perished. The United Nations now defines deliberate bombing of civilians as a crime against humanity.

Even after the war's end, for many months the Allies allotted an official calorie ration for each German civilian that was less than could sustain life. Millions of civilians died in those months of hunger, exposure, and disease. The Soviets forced million from their homes in German lands in the east. In violation of the Geneva Convention and long-standing rules of war, millions of German soldiers were held long after the war's end and hundred of thousands died from starvation, exposure and illness in the Allied-administered camps. Those deaths occurred after the fury of war had ceased and while massive stores of food and medicine were close by, stockpiled in Allied warehouses. (Bacque, James. 1989. *Other Losses*. Toronto.

Published in 1941 before America's involvement in the war and before the allegation of any Nazi extermination program against Jews, there was a book titled *Germany Must Perish!* by the Jew, Zionist and ferocious German-hater **Theodore N. Kaufman**. The preface of that book stated:

"This dynamic volume outlines a comprehensive plan for the extinction of the German nation and the total eradication from the earth of all her people. Also contained herein is a map illustrating the possible territorial dissection of Germany and the apportionment of her lands." (Kaufman, Theodore Nathan. *Germany must Perish!* 1941. New York)

One must consider that statement when remembering how **Harlan Fiske Stone**, former Chief Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court, described the Nuremberg Kangaroo Trials as "**a high-grade lynching party for Germans**". Who would have thought that by later inventing the "Holocaust" religion the Jews would transform the initial "lynching" into a permanent extortion of the Germans - and the Americans - for more than half a century. The Jews and their lackeys really managed to squeeze every drop of blood out of us and it will go on - if we, the people, allow them to do so.

<<http://www.y-quest.net/nuremberg.htm>>

+++++

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to:

<<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS

El Paso del Ebro

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo>>

Das kausale Nexusblatt

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo>>

Il Resto del Siclo

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo/resto>>

La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual)

<<http://ggb.0catch.com>>

Conseils de Révision